arXiv:1612.08684v1 [math.GT] 27 Dec 2016

# COUNTING SPECIAL LAGRANGIAN FIBRATIONS IN TWISTOR FAMILIES OF K3 SURFACES

SIMION FILIP

September 2016

Abstract. The number of closed billiard trajectories in a rational-angled polygon grows quadratically in the length. This paper gives an analogue on K3 surfaces, by considering special Lagrangian tori. The analogue of the angle of a billiard trajectory is a point on a twistor sphere, and the number of directions admitting a special Lagrangian torus fibration with volume bounded by $V$ grows like $V^{20}$.

## Contents

1. Introduction 2
   1.1. Motivations 2
   1.2. Results 3
   1.3. Analogies 4
   1.4. Remarks and References 5
2. Background on K3 surfaces 6
   2.1. Basic definitions 6
   2.2. Period Map. Torelli theorems. 8
   2.3. Exceptional curves, Kähler cone 9
   2.4. Automorphisms 11
   2.5. Twistor families 14
   2.6. Fibrations 16
3. Counting 22
   3.1. Statements 22
   3.2. Some preliminaries 25
   3.3. Some dynamics 27
   3.4. Natural measures and Intersections 28
   3.5. Decompositions of the Lie algebra 30
   3.6. The count 32
4. Equidistribution 34

Revised December 28, 2016 .

4.1. Cutoffs, Thickenings, and Wavefronts 34
4.2. Spectral theory 38
4.3. Quantitative Equidistribution 40
Appendix A. The explicit form of the Lie algebra decompositions 41
References 42

# 1. Introduction

## 1.1. Motivations

**Billiards.** Consider a regular $n$-gon and billiard trajectories in it. Veech [Vee89] proved that the number of *closed* billiard trajectories of length at most $L$ is asymptotic to $c_n L^2$ for an explicit constant $c_n$. For general rational-angled polygons Masur [Mas88] proved that the number of closed trajectories has quadratic upper and lower bounds, and results of Eskin, Mirzakhani, and Mohammadi [EMM15] imply a quadratic asymptotic in an averaged sense. For a general polygon, it is not known if a single closed trajectory exists.

**Translation surfaces.** These results are proved by studying the moduli space of "flat" or "translation" surfaces, i.e. Riemann surfaces $X$ equipped with a holomorphic 1-form $\Omega$. These carry a flat metric $\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2}\Omega \wedge \overline{\Omega}$ with singularities at the zeros of $\Omega$.

To go from a polygon to a surface, one unfolds the polygon to finitely many copies in $\mathbb{R}^2 \cong \mathbb{C}$ and glues them by translations, so that the 1-form $\Omega := dz$ on $\mathbb{C}$ descends to the glued surface.

**Straight lines.** Of course, closed geodesics are just length-minimizing curves. But on a translation surface $(X, \Omega)$ a horizontal curve can also be described as one for which $\operatorname{Im}\Omega$ restricts to zero. Similarly, straight lines at angle $\theta$ correspond to those for which $\operatorname{Im}\left(e^{\sqrt{-1}\theta}\Omega\right)$ restricts to zero.

Note also that closed geodesics on a translation surface occur in families: a small parallel deformation will again close up. Moreover, closed geodesics occur in a dense (on the unit circle) set of directions.

**Special Lagrangians.** One generalization of straight lines on a translation surface to higher dimensions are special Lagrangian manifolds. Namely, on a complex $n$-manifold $X$ with a holomorphic $n$-form $\Omega$ and Kähler metric $\omega$, a submanifold $L \subset X$ is *special Lagrangian* if:

(i) $L$ is Lagrangian for the symplectic form $\omega$ (i.e. $\dim_{\mathbb{R}} L = n$ and $\omega|_L \equiv 0$).

(ii) The restriction $\Omega|_L$ gives the Riemannian volume form on $L$ (in particular $\operatorname{Im}\Omega|_L \equiv 0$).

Note that special Lagrangians are automatically minimal surfaces, i.e. locally volume minimizing (because they are calibrated manifolds).

**K3 surfaces.** Special Lagrangians exist in abundance on K3 surfaces – these are compact complex 2-dimensional manifolds which admit a nowhere vanishing holomorphic 2-form $\Omega$ and are simply connected. By Yau's solution of the Calabi conjecture [Yau78] any Kähler cohomology class has a unique Kähler metric $\omega$ which is Ricci-flat.

**Fibrations.** Special Lagrangian tori can be seen as an analogue on K3 surfaces of closed billiard trajectories. When a single such torus exists on a K3 surface $X$, it deforms to give a special Lagrangian fibration $X \to B$ with finitely many singular fibers. This induces an integral-affine structure on the base and is related to the Strominger–Yau–Zaslow [SYZ96] picture of mirror symmetry (see §2.6.15 for a discussion).

**Twistor families.** A Ricci-flat K3 surface $(X, \Omega, \omega)$ naturally sits in a family $\mathcal{X} \to \mathbb{S}^2$ in which all the fibers are isometric as Riemannian manifolds, but the complex structure changes. The points in the base $\mathbb{S}^2$ of such "twistor families" will serve as directions in which we will look for special Lagrangian fibrations.

**Special Lagrangian $\leftrightarrow$ holomorphic correspondence.** It turns out that if a fiber $(\mathcal{X}_{t_0}, \Omega_{t_0}, \omega_{t_0})$ admits a special Lagrangian fibration for some $t_0 \in \mathbb{S}^2$, then the same fibration is special Lagrangian for $t$ in an entire equator containing $t_0$. At the poles corresponding to that equator, the same special Lagrangian fibration becomes an *elliptic* fibration, i.e. the fibers are complex elliptic curves for the corresponding complex structure (see Proposition 2.6.7).

In both types of fibrations, the Riemannian volumes of each fiber agree, and give a notion of volume of a fibration.

## 1.2. Results

Our main result is the following count of the number of special Lagrangian fibrations in generic twistor families.

**Theorem A.** *Let $\mathcal{X} \to \mathbb{S}^2$ be a generic (in the sense of Definition 3.1.3) twistor family of K3 surfaces. Let $N(V)$ be the number of special Lagrangian fibrations of volume at most $V$ which occur in some member of the twistor family. Then we have the asymptotic*

$$N(V) = C \cdot V^{20} + O(V^{20-\delta})$$

*for some constants $C, \delta > 0$. Moreover, the collection of equators at which the fibrations occur equidistributes on the twistor sphere $\mathbb{S}^2$.*

This result is established in Theorem 3.1.6 (see §3.1.8 for the difference between elliptic and special Lagrangian fibrations). The constant $C > 0$ that appears in the theorem is the ratio of volumes of two homogeneous spaces..

**Genericity.** The assumption in Theorem A that the twistor family is generic can be made explicit, and one can present many twistor families to which it applies (see Proposition 3.1.4). It would be interesting to extend the counting result to more general twistor families, with the appropriate change of constant and exponent in the statement.

**Sketch of the counting problem.** The second cohomology of a K3 surface is isomorphic to $\mathbb{I}_{3,19}$ – the unique even, unimodular lattice of signature $(3, 19)$. The data of a twistor family gives a real positive definite 3-plane $P \subset \mathbb{I}_{3,19}(\mathbb{R})$ which induces a semi-norm

$$\|e\|_P := \sup_{\substack{\kappa \in P \\ \kappa^2 = 1}} \kappa \cdot e.$$

We are interested in counting primitive integral null vectors $e \in \mathbb{I}_{3,19}$ such that $\|e\|_P \leq V$. This problem can be approached using dynamics on homogeneous spaces.

## 1.3. Analogies

The counting result of Theorem A can be seen as an analogue of counting closed billiard trajectories in the real 2-dimensional case. Below are futher analogies between the geometry and dynamics on surfaces in real and complex dimension 2. Some of them have been suggested before, for instance in the work of Cantat [Can01] and McMullen [McM02]. Others are suggested by the results of this paper.

Specifically, the action of complex automorphisms on the cohomology of a K3 surface and its relation to entropy goes back to Gromov [Gro03] and has been studied further by Cantat [Can01]. In particular, Cantat established the stable and unstable currents of a hyperbolic K3 automorphism as analogous to stable and unstable foliations for pseudo-Anosov mappings.

On the Riemannian part of the analogy, the flat metric on a real surface is replaced by the Ricci-flat metric on a K3. The role of the holomorphic 1-form is played by the holomorphic 2-form, and straight lines become special Lagrangians. Finally, the notion of "angle" on $\mathbb{S}^1$ becomes now a point on the twistor sphere $\mathbb{S}^2$.

Note that the variations of Hodge structures arising from K3 surfaces also admit an analogue of the Eskin–Kontsevich–Zorich [EKZ14] formula for the sum of Lyapunov exponents. This is established in [Fil14].

| **Riemann surfaces** | **K3 surfaces** |
|---|---|
| Mapping classes of diffeomorphisms: pseudo-Anosov, reducible, periodic | Holomorphic automorphisms: hyperbolic, parabolic, elliptic |
| Entropy, action on curves | Entropy, action on $H^2$ |
| Stable and unstable foliations | Stable and unstable currents |
| Flat metrics | Ricci-flat (hyperkähler) metrics |
| Holomorphic 1-form | Holomorphic 2-form |
| Straight lines for the flat metric | Special Lagrangians |
| Periodic trajectories | Special Lagrangian tori |
| Cylinders | Fibrations |
| $\mathbb{S}^1$: directions for straight lines | $\mathbb{S}^2$: twistor (hyperkähler) rotation |
| Lyapunov exponents for families | |

## 1.4. Remarks and References

General references for the theory of K3 surfaces are the collection of notes [K3-85] and the book by Huybrechts [Huy15]. For Ricci-flat metrics and special Lagrangians, a general reference is the collection of notes [GHJ03]. The results necessary for this paper are recalled in Section 2.

The counting techniques used in this paper go back to Eskin–McMullen [EM93] and have been sharpened and quantified by Benoist–Oh [BO12] (see also the initial work of Duke–Rudnick–Sarnak [DRS93]).

The techniques used in this paper imply a similar kind of result for the case of general hyperkähler manifolds, assuming one can establish an equivalence between classes of the fiber in a special Lagrangian fibration and null vectors in the closure of the positive cone.

**Further work.** It would be interesting to extend the counting result from Theorem A to all twistor families. This is likely to involve infinite volume locally homogeneous spaces and a more refined analysis of equidistribution.

The space of integral-affine structures on the sphere (see §2.6.15) carries an interesting geometry and similarities with strata of flat surfaces. It is also connected to non-archimedean analytic geometry (see e.g. the work of Kontsevich–Soibelman [KS06]). We hope to explore some of these analogies in future work.

**Paper Outline.** Section 2 contains the necessary background on K3 surfaces. We describe the main needed facts and refer for proofs to the cited references. The section has an expository character and is meant for readers unfamiliar with K3 surfaces.

Section 3 contains the proof of the main counting result, established in Theorem 3.1.6. It is deduced from an equidistribution result in homogeneous spaces which is established in Section 4.

Finally Appendix A contains explicit matrix descriptions of the Lie groups that appear in the arguments.

**Acknowledgements.** For discussions on the topic of this paper I am grateful to Yves Benoist, Alex Eskin, Daniel Huybrechts, Martin Möller, Misha Verbitsky, and Anton Zorich. I received useful feedback and suggestions on a preliminary version of the text from Curt McMullen.

This research was partially conducted during the period the author served as a Clay Research Fellow.

# 2. Background on K3 surfaces

## 2.1. Basic definitions

A detailed introduction to the theory of K3 surfaces is in the collected seminar notes [K3-85]. The upcoming book of Huybrechts [Huy15] provides an updated account.

**2.1.1. Definition.** A *K3 surface* is a compact complex two-dimensional manifold $X$ that has trivial canonical bundle and is simply connected.

**2.1.2. Remark.**

(i) That $X$ has trivial canonical bundle is equivalent to the existence of a nowhere vanishing holomorphic 2-form, denoted $\Omega$ from now on.

(ii) The condition that $X$ is simply connected can be relaxed in various ways which lead to the same class of objects. For example, vanishing of first Betti number suffices; for a purely algebraic definition, one can require that $H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X) = \{0\}$. See [K3-85, Exposé III] or [Huy15, Ch. 1] for more details.

(iii) It was proved by Siu [Siu83] that every K3 surface admits a Kähler form, thus Hodge theory applies in the usual form.

**2.1.3. Topological structure.** One can show that all K3 surfaces are diffeomorphic. As a consequence, the only non-trivial cohomology group is $H^2(X;\mathbb{Z})$, whose rank is 22. Equipped with cup product, this becomes an even unimodular lattice of signature $(3,19)$ and this uniquely determines the isomorphism class of the lattice. Denoting by $U$ the hyperbolic plane and by $(-E_8)$ the $E_8$ lattice with opposite sign of the quadratic form, the second cohomology group is non-canonically isomorphic to the K3 lattice

$$\mathbb{I}_{3,19} := U^{\oplus 3} \bigoplus (-E_8)^{\oplus 2}.$$

To simplify notation, the integral lattice will be denoted by $\Lambda_{\mathbb{Z}}$ and its extension of scalars to $\mathbb{R}$ and $\mathbb{C}$ will be denoted by $\Lambda_{\mathbb{R}}$ and $\Lambda_{\mathbb{C}}$. The corresponding groups of automorphisms preserving the quadratic form are $\Gamma := O(\Lambda_{\mathbb{Z}})$ and $G := O(\Lambda_{\mathbb{R}})$.

Let $q$ denote the quadratic form on $\Lambda_{\mathbb{Z}}$ (or its extension of scalars). The induced bilinear form is

$$v.w := \tfrac{1}{2}\Big(q(v+w) - q(v) - q(w)\Big).$$

Note that because $q$ is even, the bilinear form takes integer values.

**2.1.4. Hodge structure.** The second cohomology group of a K3 surface $X$ carries a Hodge decomposition

$$H^2(X;\mathbb{C}) = H^{2,0}(X) \oplus H^{1,1}(X) \oplus H^{0,2}(X). \tag{2.1.5}$$

In this case, $H^{2,0} = \overline{H^{0,2}}$ and $H^{1,1} = \overline{H^{1,1}}$. In particular, $H^{1,1}$ is the complexification of a real space, denoted $H^{1,1}_{\mathbb{R}}(X) \subset H^2(X;\mathbb{R})$.

The space $H^{2,0}$ is 1-dimensional and generated by the class of the holomorphic two-form $\Omega$. From integration in local coordinates, it follows that this class satisfies:

$$[\Omega].[\Omega] = 0 \text{ and } [\Omega].[\overline{\Omega}] > 0.$$

The complex conjugate of $\Omega$, denoted $\overline{\Omega}$, generates $H^{0,2}$.

The above calculation shows that cup product is positive-definite on $H^2(X;\mathbb{R}) \cap \Big(H^{2,0}(X) \oplus H^{0,2}(X)\Big)$. Since the orthogonal complement of this space inside $H^2(X;\mathbb{R})$ is $H^{1,1}_{\mathbb{R}}(X)$, the signature on the latter is $(1,19)$.

## 2.2. Period Map. Torelli theorems.

A more detailed treatment of the period map and Torelli theorems are available in the book of Huybrechts [Huy15, Ch. 6] and the seminar notes [K3-85, Ch. VII - X].

**2.2.1. Markings.** For a K3 surface $X$, a *marking* is the choice of an isomorphism $\phi : H^2(X;\mathbb{Z}) \to \Lambda_{\mathbb{Z}}$ respecting the quadratic forms. For a family $\mathcal{X} \to B$ of K3 surfaces over a base $B$, a marking of the family is a choice of marking for each member of the family, compatible with smooth local trivializations. If a family admits a marking at all, it is uniquely determined by a choice of marking for a fiber in each connected component of $B$.

**2.2.2. Period map.** The period domain is a subset of the projectivization of $\Lambda_{\mathbb{C}}$:

$$\mathcal{D} := \Big\{ [\alpha] \in \mathbb{P}(\Lambda_{\mathbb{C}}) \,|\, \alpha.\alpha = 0 \text{ and } \alpha.\overline{\alpha} > 0 \Big\}.$$

This is the period domain for Hodge structures of a K3 surface, and it carries a natural complex structure when viewed as a subset of complex projective space. Note that $[\alpha] \in \mathcal{D}$ determines a Hodge structure (see Eqn. (2.1.5)) by the decomposition

$$\Lambda_{\mathbb{C}} = \alpha \bigoplus (\alpha \oplus \overline{\alpha})^{\perp} \bigoplus \overline{\alpha}.$$

A marked K3 surface $X$ determines a point in $\mathcal{D}$ using the complex line $H^{2,0}(X) \subset H^2(X;\mathbb{C}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \Lambda_{\mathbb{C}}$. This assignment is the period map. Let also $\mathcal{M}_\Lambda$ be the moduli space of marked K3 surfaces, considered up to biholomorphisms compatible with the marking.

**2.2.3. Theorem.** *Consider the period map $\mathcal{M}_\Lambda \to \mathcal{D}$ which assigns to a marked K3 surface $(X, \phi)$ the line $\phi(H^{2,0}(X)) \subset \Lambda_{\mathbb{C}}$.*

- **Local Torelli:** *The period map is a holomorphic local covering map between complex manifolds. Note that the moduli space $\mathcal{M}_\Lambda$ is not Hausdorff.*
- **Global Torelli:** *The period map is surjective when restricted to each connected component of $\mathcal{M}_\Lambda$. Moreover, the locus where it is not injective can be described in terms of the corresponding Hodge structure.*

Here are some consequence of the above results.

**2.2.4. Corollary.** *Let $X_1, X_2$ be two K3 surfaces.*

**Weak Torelli:** *If there is an isomorphism between $H^2(X_1;\mathbb{Z})$ and $H^2(X_2;\mathbb{Z})$ respecting cup product and the Hodge structures, then there exists an isomorphism between the two K3 surfaces.*

**Strong Torelli:** *Let $\mathcal{K}_{X_i} \subset H^{1,1}_{\mathbb{R}}(X_i)$ denote the respective Kähler cones (see §2.3), i.e. the cones of cohomology classes represented by Kähler forms. Then any isomorphism between the groups $H^2(X_i;\mathbb{Z})$ which respects the cup product, Hodge structures, and Kähler cones, can be realized by a unique isomorphism between the two K3 surfaces.*

The Kähler cone of a K3 surface can be also described explicitly. This is detailed in §2.3.

## 2.3. Exceptional curves, Kähler cone

On a K3 surface, rational curves (i.e. $\mathbb{P}^1$'s) which have self-intersection $(-2)$ play a special role. In particular, they determine which cohomology classes can be represented by Kähler metrics – the condition is that the cohomology class pairs positively with all such $(-2)$ curves and has positive self-intersection. These results are discussed below, and a more detailed treatment is in the first two chapters of [Huy15], and also in [K3-85, Ch. III-IV].

**2.3.1. Riemann–Roch and Serre Duality.** On a K3 surface, the Riemann–Roch theorem is particularly simple because the canonical bundle is trivial. For a line bundle $\mathcal{L}$ it gives

$$\chi(\mathcal{L}) = \frac{1}{2}[\mathcal{L}].[\mathcal{L}] + 2$$

where $[\mathcal{L}]$ denotes the first Chern class of the line bundle, viewed as an element of $H^2(X;\mathbb{Z})$.

Serre duality is also particularly simple and implies $h^i(\mathcal{L}) = h^{2-i}(\mathcal{L}^\vee)$ where $\mathcal{L}^\vee$ is the dual line bundle. Using the definition of $\chi(\mathcal{L})$ and Serre duality, Riemann–Roch reads:

$$h^0(\mathcal{L}) - h^1(\mathcal{L}) + h^0(\mathcal{L}^\vee) = \frac{1}{2}[\mathcal{L}].[\mathcal{L}] + 2. \tag{2.3.2}$$

**2.3.3. Adjunction.** Let now $C \subset X$ be a curve on a K3 surface. The arithmetic genus of $C$, defined as $p_a(C) := H^1(C, \mathcal{O}_C)$, is expressed by the *adjunction formula*

$$2p_a(C) - 2 = [C].[C] \tag{2.3.4}$$

As before, $[C]$ denotes the cohomology class of the curve $C$ on the surface. When $C$ is smooth, the arithmetic genus is the same as the topological genus. When $C$ is not smooth, the arithmetic genus equals the dimension of a space of meromorphic differentials on the normalization $\tilde{C}$ (whose genus can be smaller than the arithmetic genus of $C$).

**2.3.5. Néron–Severi group.** The Chern class of a holomorphic line bundle lies in a subgroup of the second cohomology, namely

$$\mathrm{NS}(X) := H^{1,1}_{\mathbb{R}}(X) \cap H^2(X;\mathbb{Z}).$$

Conversely, given any class $c \in \mathrm{NS}(X)$, by the Lefschetz $(1,1)$-theorem there will be a holomorphic line bundle $\mathcal{L}$ with this Chern class. The line bundle is unique, since a K3 surface is simply connected.

The cohomology class of any curve $C \subset X$ will also be in $\mathrm{NS}(X)$. The classes of curves described below will play a key role in subsequent arguments.

**2.3.6. The (-2) curves.** Let $\delta \in \mathrm{NS}(X)$ be a cohomology class satisfying $\delta.\delta = -2$, and let $\mathcal{L}_\delta$ be the corresponding line bundle. Its dual $\mathcal{L}_\delta^\vee$ is the line bundle corresponding to $-\delta$, and Riemann–Roch gives

$$h^0(\mathcal{L}_\delta) - h^1(\mathcal{L}_\delta) + h^0(\mathcal{L}_\delta^\vee) = 1.$$

Therefore, at least one of $\mathcal{L}_\delta$ or $\mathcal{L}_\delta^\vee$ has a non-trivial section. The vanishing locus will give a curve on $X$ representing the first Chern class. Therefore $\delta$ or $-\delta$ can be represented by the class of some curve $C$. Note that $C$ need not be irreducible, but each irreducible component of $C$ will be smooth, of genus 0, and of self-intersection $-2$.

An irreducible curve $C \subset X$ satisfying $[C].[C] = -2$ is automatically smooth and isomorphic to $\mathbb{P}^1$, by the adjunction formula (2.3.4). It is called a $(-2)$ curve.

**2.3.7. The Weyl group.** Denote by $\Delta_X^+$ the set of classes $\delta \in \mathrm{NS}(X)$ which are *effective* (i.e. represented by positive linear combinations of curves) and satisfy $\delta.\delta = -2$. Such classes are called positive *roots* and induce a reflection on $H^2(X;\mathbb{Z})$ by the formula:

$$s_\delta(x) := x + (x.\delta)\delta. \tag{2.3.8}$$

When extending scalars to $\mathbb{R}$ the element $s_\delta$ acts non-trivially only on $H^{1,1}_{\mathbb{R}}(X)$. The Weyl group $W_X$ is by definition the subgroup of orthogonal transformations of $\Lambda_{\mathbb{Z}}$ (or $\Lambda_{\mathbb{R}}$) generated by reflections $s_\delta$ for all $\delta \in \Delta_X^+$. Note that $W_X$ preserves and acts faithfully on $\mathrm{NS}(X)$.

As explained in §2.3.6, if $\delta \in \mathrm{NS}(X)$ satisfies $\delta.\delta = -2$ and is effective, then exactly one of $\delta$ and $-\delta$ is in $\Delta_X^+$.

**2.3.9. The Kähler cone.** On $H^{1,1}_{\mathbb{R}}(X)$ the intersection pairing has signature $(1, 19)$. The positive vectors form two cones and one of them, denoted $\mathcal{C}_X^+$, is distinguished as it contains a Kähler class. The Weyl group $W_X$ becomes a hyperbolic reflection group, acting by orthogonal transformations on $H^{1,1}_{\mathbb{R}}(X)$ and preserving the positive cone $\mathcal{C}_X^+$. The reflection hyperplanes divide $\mathcal{C}_X^+$ into chambers and the Weyl group acts transitively on the chambers.

Because the roots $\delta \in \Delta_X^+$ are represented by *effective* curves, it follows that any Kähler class must pair positively with any root. This gives the distinguished *Kähler chamber* $\mathcal{K}_X \subseteq \mathcal{C}_X^+$ of classes which pair positively with all the positive roots.

Using twistor families, one can show that the Kähler chamber in fact coincides with the Kähler cone. In other words, *any* cohomology class in $\mathcal{K}_X$ can be represented by some Kähler form.

## 2.4. Automorphisms

Denote by $\mathrm{O}(\mathrm{NS}(X))$ the group of orthogonal transformations of the Néron–Severi group.

**2.4.1. Proposition.** *The Weyl group $W_X$ is a normal subgroup of* $\mathrm{O}(\mathrm{NS}(X))$.

*Proof.* The generators $s_\delta$ of $W_X$ correspond to elements $\delta \in \mathrm{NS}(X)$ such that $\delta^2 = -2$. The set of generators is clearly invariant under conjugation by elements of $\mathrm{O}(\mathrm{NS}(X))$, therefore so is $W_X$. Note that $W_X$ need not be finitely generated. □

**2.4.2. The basic short exact sequence.** Denote by $Q$ the quotient of $\mathrm{O}(\mathrm{NS}(X))$ by $W_X$, so that we have the exact sequence

$$1 \to W_X \to \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{NS}(X)) \to Q \to 1.$$

**2.4.3. Transcendental part of cohomology.** Let $\mathcal{T}_{\mathbb{Z}}(X) \subset H^2(X;\mathbb{Z})$ denote the orthogonal complement of $\mathrm{NS}(X)$. Equivalently, it is the smallest integral subspace of $H^2$ which contains after complexification $H^{2,0} \oplus H^{0,2}$. The extension of scalars to $\mathbb{R}$ or $\mathbb{C}$ will be denoted by a subscript, and called the *transcendental part* of cohomology.

**2.4.4. Signature of the Néron–Severi group.** Denote by $\rho$ the $\mathbb{Z}$-rank of the Néron–Severi group. Note that $\rho \in \{0, 1, \dots, 20\}$. K3 surfaces with $\rho = 20$ are sometimes called in the literature singular, extremal, exceptional, or $\rho$-maximal[1].

Because $\mathrm{NS}(X) \subset H^{1,1}_{\mathbb{R}}(X)$ and the signature of cup product on the latter is $(1, 19)$, the possible signatures on $\mathrm{NS}(X)$ are $(1, \rho - 1), (0, \rho)$ or $(0, \rho - 1)$. In the last case, cup-product has a degenerate one-dimensional subspace.

**2.4.5. Algebraic case.** Suppose that the signature of $\mathrm{NS}(X)$ is $(1, \rho - 1)$. Then $X$ is necessarily projective and we have a splitting $H^2(X; \mathbb{R}) := \mathcal{T}_{\mathbb{R}}(X) \oplus \mathrm{NS}(X)_{\mathbb{R}}$, with $\mathcal{T}_{\mathbb{R}}(X)$ the transcendental part. Note that cup product is positive-definite on $\mathcal{T}$ and the submodule $\mathcal{T}_{\mathbb{Z}}(X) \oplus \mathrm{NS}(X)$ is finite index in $H^2(X; \mathbb{Z})$.

In this case the short exact sequence from §2.4.2 splits as a semi-direct product. Indeed, the signature on $\mathrm{NS}(X)$ is hyperbolic and the reflections in the Weyl group cut up the corresponding hyperbolic space by hyperplanes. There is a distinguished chamber, corresponding to the Kähler (or, ample) classes and $Q$ can be defined as the orthogonal transformations which preserve that chamber.

A finite index subgroup of $Q$ lifts to automorphisms of $H^2(X; \mathbb{Z})$, and these elements give automorphisms of $X$. Conversely, the map from $\mathrm{Aut}(X)$ to $Q$ has finite kernel (since the transcendental part is positive-definite). So the groups $Q$ and $\mathrm{Aut}(X)$ are commensurable.

Note that on $H^{2,0}$ the automorphisms of $X$ act by a finite group of roots of unity. Indeed, the automorphisms preserve the transcendental lattice $\mathcal{T}_{\mathbb{Z}}(X)$ and its cup-product, which is positive definite. But $H^{2,0} \subset \mathcal{T}_{\mathbb{C}}$ and the group of automorphisms is finitely generated (hence the finite group of roots of unity).

**2.4.6. Almost algebraic case.** Suppose now that the signature of $\mathrm{NS}(X)$ is $(0, \rho - 1)$. Then the kernel of cup-product restricted to $\mathrm{NS}(X)$ is a rational null line. So there exists a primitive integral class $e \in \mathrm{NS}(X)$ such that $e^2 = 0$.

Note that in this case the transcendental lattice and the Néron–Severi group intersect: $\mathcal{T}_{\mathbb{Z}}(X) \cap \mathrm{NS}(X) = \mathbb{Z}e$. Therefore, any automorphism of $X$ preserves $e$ and also its perpendicular $e^{\perp}$. Note that $e \subset e^{\perp} = \mathcal{T}_{\mathbb{Z}}(X) + \mathrm{NS}(X)$.

The quotient $\mathcal{T}(X)\big/e$ carries a non-degenerate pairing of signature $(2, 20 - \rho)$ and the image of $H^{2,0} \oplus H^{0,2}$ gives a positive-definite 2-plane.

[1] In analogy with flat surfaces, one could also call them "square-tiled".

An automorphism of $X$ has to preserve this image and be integral, so it must act by a root of unity on $\mathcal{T}(X)\big/e$, as in the previous case. Similarly, an automorphism of $X$ must preserve the positive-definite inner product on $\mathrm{NS}(X)\big/e$ and the integral lattice, so it acts via a finite group. Therefore, up to finite index the group $\mathrm{Aut}(X)$ acts as the identity on the quotients $\mathcal{T}(X)\big/e$ and $\mathrm{NS}(X)\big/e$.

The above reasoning also applies to the Weyl group $W_X$, since we only used that automorphisms are integral Hodge isometries, as are elements of $W_X$. Let $W_X'$ and $\mathrm{Aut}(X)'$ denote the finite index subgroups which act trivially on both $\mathcal{T}(X)\big/e$ and $\mathrm{NS}(X)\big/e$.

The filtration of cohomology by $e \subset e^\perp \subset H^2(X;\mathbb{R})$ is preserved by the groups in question, and each acts trivially on the induced quotients. Therefore, we get well-defined *injective* group homomorphisms from $W_X'$ and $\mathrm{Aut}(X)'$ to $\mathrm{Hom}(e^\perp\big/e\,, e)$ – this corresponds to a block of a unipotent matrix. Injectivity follows from orthogonality of the transformations, and so one block determines the other one, corresponding to $\mathrm{Hom}\left(H^2(X;\mathbb{R})\big/e^\perp\,,\, e^\perp\big/e\right)$.

Next, we have the isomorphism $e^\perp\big/e = \mathrm{NS}(X)\big/e \oplus \mathcal{T}(X)\big/e$. Suppose $\phi \in \mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{T}(X)\big/e\,, e)$ comes from the image of an element in $\mathrm{Aut}(X)'$ or $W_X'$. Then $\phi = 0$, since Hodge isometries preserve $H^{2,0} \oplus H^{0,2} \subset \mathcal{T}(X)$, so $\ker\phi$ must contain this subspace. But $\mathcal{T}(X)$ is the smallest rational subspace containing $H^{2,0} \oplus H^{0,2}$, and the kernel of $\phi$ is rational.

Therefore, we obtain an injection of $\mathrm{Aut}(X)'$ and $W_X'$ into $\mathrm{Hom}(\mathrm{NS}(X)\big/e\,, e)$. The images of the two groups are complementary $\mathbb{Z}$-submodules, i.e. disjoint and with rank adding up to the rank of the ambient abelian group. The positive cone $\mathcal{C}_X^+ \subset H^{1,1}_{\mathbb{R}}(X)$ is cut up by a family of hyperplanes meeting at the null vector $e$, where the hyperplanes correspond to $(-2)$-vectors in $\mathrm{NS}(X)$. The group $W_X'$ acts by unipotent transformations translating the chambers, and $\mathrm{Aut}(X)'$ by unipotent transformations fixing the Kähler chamber $\mathcal{K}_X$.

**2.4.7. Non-algebraic case.** Suppose now that the signature of $\mathrm{NS}(X)$ is $(0,\rho)$. Then the group of integral orthogonal transformations $\mathrm{O}(\mathrm{NS}(X))$ is finite, and so is the Weyl group $W_X$. The transcendental part $\mathcal{T}(X)$ has signature $(3, 19-\rho)$ and any automorphism must fix the positive-definite plane $H^{2,0} \oplus H^{0,2}$ inside of it. However, it need not act as rotation by a root of unity. The automorphism group is, up to finite index, either trivial or $\mathbb{Z}$. McMullen [McM02] constructed interesting

examples of automorphisms in this case, which in particular have Siegel disks.

## 2.5. Twistor families

A detailed account of the material in this section is in the collected notes by Joyce, Gross, and Huybrechts [GHJ03]. For holonomy groups see Part I, and for hyperkähler metrics and twistor families see Part III of loc. cit.

**2.5.1. Calabi–Yau metrics.** By definition, a K3 surface $X$ has trivial canonical bundle. As a consequence of Yau's solution of the Calabi conjecture [Yau78], any cohomology class in $H^2(X;\mathbb{R})$ representable by *some* Kähler metric has a *unique* representative which is Ricci-flat (i.e. the Ricci curvature vanishes). Let therefore $(X, g, I)$ denote a triple where $X$ is a K3 surface with complex structure $I$ (viewed as an appropriate tensor) and $g$ is a Ricci-flat Riemannian metric with Kähler form $\omega_I(-,-) := g(I-,-)$.

**2.5.2. Holonomy.** In terms of the Levi–Civita connection of a Kähler metric, Ricci-flatness is equivalent to parallel transport preserving a holomorphic volume form on the canonical line bundle. For a K3 surface, this volume form is provided by the holomorphic 2-form $\Omega$.

In general, the group generated by parallel transport using the Levi–Civita connection of a Riemannian metric is called the *holonomy* of the Riemannian metric. A metric on $X$ is Kähler if its holonomy is in the unitary subgroup of the orthogonal group, in other words if parallel transport preserves a complex structure. Ricci flatness of the Kähler metric on a complex surface is equivalent holonomy being contained in the subgroup $\mathrm{SU}(2) \subset \mathrm{SO}(4)$, i.e. parallel transport also preserves a complex volume form $\Omega$.

**2.5.3. HyperKähler structures.** Let $\mathrm{Sp}(1)$ be the group of unit quaternions; we have the exceptional isomorphism $\mathrm{Sp}(1) \cong \mathrm{SU}(2)$. This implies that parallel transport respects, in addition to the complex structure $I$, another complex structure $J$. Additionally, the quaternionic commutation relation $K := I \cdot J = -J \cdot I$ holds. This gives a family of complex structures of the form $xI + yJ + zK$ with $x^2 + y^2 + z^2 = 1$. Each of these complex structures is preserved by parallel transport.
The result $(X, g, I, J, K)$ is the data of a *hyperkähler* manifold, where:

(i) $X$ is a smooth $4n$-manifold[2], $g$ is a Riemannian metric on $X$.
(ii) The tensors $I, J$, and $K$ are complex structures on $X$, satisfying the quaternionic commutation relations, and acting by isometries of the metric $g$.
(iii) The 2-form $\omega_I(-,-) := g(I-,-)$ gives a Kähler metric (i.e. $d\omega_I = 0$), and similarly for $J$ and $K$.

**2.5.4. Twistor families.** Starting from a hyperkähler manifold as above gives a natural family of complex manifolds over the unit sphere $\mathbb{S}^2 \cong \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{C})$. The total space of the family is $\mathcal{X} := X \times \mathbb{S}^2$, and the base is $\mathbb{S}^2$.

Given $t = (x, y, z) \in \mathbb{S}^2$ a triple of real numbers satisfying $x^2 + y^2 + z^2 = 1$, define on the fiber $X_t$ the complex structure $I_t := xI + yJ + zK$. In the direction transverse to the fiber, the complex structure is the natural one induced from that on $\mathbb{S}^2$. A calculation shows that the natural projection map $\mathcal{X} \to \mathbb{S}^2 = \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{C})$ is holomorphic, and gives a holomorphic family of complex manifolds.

**2.5.5. The differential forms in a twistor family.** As described above, a K3 surface $X$ with a Ricci-flat Kähler metric $g$ comes in a natural twistor family. Denote the initial complex structure on $X$ by $I$ and the Kähler form by $\omega_I(-,-) := g(I-,-)$.

Note that there is an $\mathbb{S}^1$ worth of choices (see §2.6.8) for the other complex structure $J$ satisfying $IJ = -JI$. Indeed, any element in the equator perpendicular to $I \in \mathbb{S}^2$ gives a complex structure with the required commutation relation.

The K3 surface $(X, I)$ also carries a nowhere vanishing holomorphic 2-form $\Omega$, normalized by the requirement $\int_X \Omega \wedge \overline{\Omega} = 2 \int_X d\,\mathrm{Vol}(g)$. This determines the form up to multiplication by a unit complex number. The real and imaginary parts of $\Omega$ can now be expressed using the hyperkähler structure, with $J$ and $K$ the other complex structures:

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Re}\Omega(-,-) &= \omega_J(-,-) = g(J-,-) \\ \operatorname{Im}\Omega(-,-) &= \omega_K(-,-) = g(K-,-) \end{aligned} \tag{2.5.6}$$

In other words $\Omega = \omega_J + \sqrt{-1}\omega_K$.

If $\Omega$ is fixed, there is a unique pair of $J, K$ which satisfy (2.5.6). The action of unit complex numbers by rotating $\Omega$ corresponds to the possible choices of pairs $(J, K)$ on the unit circle perpendicular to $I$ on $\mathbb{S}^2$.

[2]For a K3 surface $n = 1$.

**2.5.7. Summary.** Given a K3 surface $X$ with a Kähler class $c \in H^2(X;\mathbb{R})$, there is a unique Kähler form $\omega$ in that class which gives a Ricci-flat Riemannian metric. This places $X$ in a holomorphic twistor family $\mathcal{X} \to \mathbb{P}^1$ of K3 surfaces, with natural diffeomorphisms between the fibers.

For each $t \in \mathbb{P}^1$, there is a canonical Kähler metric $\omega_t$ on the fiber $\mathcal{X}_t$ and a canonical line $\mathbb{C}\Omega_t \subset H^2(X_t;\mathbb{C})$ spanned by the holomorphic 2-form on $X_t$. Moreover, the span of $\omega_t, \operatorname{Re}\Omega_t, \operatorname{Im}\Omega_t$ is a constant positive-definite 3-plane in $H^2(X;\mathbb{R})$.

Conversely, given a positive-definite 3-plane in $\Lambda_{\mathbb{R}}$, by the Torelli theorem there is a twistor family corresponding to it.

## 2.6. Fibrations

For a discussion of elliptic fibrations on K3 surfaces see [Huy15, Ch. 11] and for special Lagrangian manifolds and fibrations, see [GHJ03, Parts 1 & 2]. The notation is the same as in previous sections.

**2.6.1. Elliptic fibrations.** Let $e \in \mathrm{NS}(X)$ be a class satisfying $e.e = 0$, with corresponding line bundle $\mathcal{L}_e$. From the Riemann-Roch formula (2.3.2), either $\mathcal{L}_e$ or $\mathcal{L}_e^\vee$ must have at least two global sections. Note that only one of them can have global sections, thus assume it is $\mathcal{L}_e$.

Next, the fixed part of the associated linear system will be a collection of $(-2)$-curves (see [Huy15, Ch. 2, Sec. 1.5]). Acting on $e$ by the Weyl group $W_X$ (namely, reflect in the $(-2)$-curves appearing) ensures that $\mathcal{L}_e$ has no fixed part (i.e. there is no common component where all its sections vanish).

From the adjunction formula (2.3.4) it follows that the vanishing locus of a section of $\mathcal{L}_e$ is a curve of arithmetic genus 1. Thus, a generic section of $\mathcal{L}_e$ vanishes on an irreducible smooth curve of genus 1 (see also [K3-85, Exposé IV, Sec. 3]).

The map thus obtained $X \to \mathbb{P}(H^0(\mathcal{L}_e)) \cong \mathbb{P}^1$ is an elliptic fibration. The above discussion can be summarized as follows.

**2.6.2. Corollary.** *Consider integral null vectors $e \in \mathrm{NS}(X)$, with the equivalence relation induced by the action of the Weyl group $W_X$ and the map $e \mapsto -e$.*

*Then elliptic fibrations on the K3 surface $X$ are in bijection with these equivalence classes.*

*Note that each equivalence class has a unique representative in the closure of the Kähler cone (see §2.3.9).*

*Proof.* Given an elliptic fibration $X \to \mathbb{P}^1$, the class of the fiber gives a null vector $e \in \mathrm{NS}(X)$. Any other element in its $W_X$-orbit cannot be the class of an elliptic curve, since it will have negative intersection with some $(-2)$ curve. Note that if $e$ is effective, then $-e$ is not.

Conversely, given a $W_X$-orbit of integral null vectors, by the argument above there will be a unique element which is represented by an elliptic curve. □

**2.6.3. Example.**

(i) Let $X \subset \mathbb{P}^3$ be a quartic surface, e.g. $x^4 - y^4 + z^4 - w^4 = 0$. Suppose that there exists a line $l \subset X$ (e.g. $x = y, z = w$). Consider the 1-dimensional family of planes $H_t$ containing $l$. Then $X \cap H_t = l \cup C_t$ where $C_t \subset H_t$ is a cubic curve (since $X$ is quartic, and the line $l$ accounts for one degree). This gives an elliptic fibration $X \to \mathbb{P}^1$ (note that the line $l$ maps by a degree 3 map to $\mathbb{P}^1$, as each cubic curve will intersect $l$ in 3 points).

(ii) Consider now a bidegree $(2, 2, 2)$ surface in $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$. The fibers of the projection to any one $\mathbb{P}^1$-coordinate are $(2, 2)$ curves in $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$, thus have genus zero. This gives three distinct elliptic fibrations, one for each choice of $\mathbb{P}^1$. In fact, the surface has a large group of automorphisms which will produce more elliptic fibrations.

**2.6.4. Definition** (Special Lagrangian Manifold)**.** Let $X$ be a K3 surface, $\Omega$ its holomorphic 2-form and $\omega$ a Kähler form with induced metric $g$. A real 2-dimensional submanifold $L \subset X$ is a *special Lagrangian submanifold* (abbreviated sLag) if the following conditions hold:

- $L$ is Lagrangian for the symplectic form $\omega$, i.e. $\omega|_L = 0$
- Restricting $\Omega$ to $L$ gives the Riemannian volume form of $L$ coming from $g$, i.e.

$$\Omega|_L = d\,\mathrm{Vol}_g$$

In particular, the imaginary part of $\Omega$ restricts to zero on $L$.

For generic Kähler metrics it can be difficult to find sLag submanifolds. However, in the hyperkähler case these exist in abundance, as will be discussed below.

**2.6.5. Special Lagrangian fibrations.** A *sLag fibration* is a map from $X$ to $\mathbb{S}^2 = \mathbb{P}^1$, such that all but finitely many fibers are smooth sLag tori. The singular fibers are typically pinched tori, and when counted with multiplicities, there are 24. Indeed, a K3 surface has

topological Euler characteristic 24, a smooth torus has Euler characteristic 0, and a pinched torus has Euler characteristic 1.

Since a K3 surface is simply connected, any map to a topological surface of genus at least one must be homotopically trivial. Therefore the base of a sLag fibration can only be a sphere.

**2.6.6. Remark.** For *any* symplectic manifold, a proper fibration with Lagrangian fibers must have torus fibers. This is the Arnold–Liouville theorem.

To see this, suppose that $X \to B$ is a fibration with Lagrangian fibers. Pull back to $X$ a local coordinate system from $B$ to obtain a collection of $\dim B = (\dim X - \dim B)$ functions on $X$. Because the fibers are Lagrangian, these functions give rise to nondegenerate commuting Hamiltonian vector fields, pointing along the fibers. Because the fibers are compact, they must be tori.

For the statement about Hamiltonians, suppose that $f$ is one such function at a point $p \in X$ and $L \subset T_pX$ is the Lagrangian tangent space to the fiber of the projection. Then $L \subset \ker df$ since $f$ comes from the base, and by the Lagrangian condition $L = L^{\perp_\omega}$ where $\perp_\omega$ denotes the symplectic orthogonal. The Hamiltonian vector field of $f$ is $df^\#$ defined by $\omega(df^\#, -) = df(-)$. Thus $\omega(df^\#, L) = 0$, so $df^\# \in L^{\perp_\omega} = L$.

Commutativity of Hamiltonians follows from the vanishing of the Poisson bracket. Given $f, g$ two functions pulled back from $B$, their Poisson bracket is $\omega(df^\#, dg^\#)$. But both $df^\#$ and $dg^\#$ are in $L$, so their symplectic pairing vanishes.

More importantly, a Lagrangian fibration induces an integral-affine structure on the base of the fibration. Here, integral-affine means that transition charts are in $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) \ltimes \mathbb{R}^2$, and some singular points with unipotent monodromy are allowed. See §2.6.15 for a brief discussion.

The next result shows that in the hyperkähler case, there is an equivalence between special Lagrangian fibrations and elliptic fibrations, in a different complex structure.

**2.6.7. Proposition** (Holomorphic ↔ sLag correspondence)**.** *Let $(X, g, I, J, K)$ be a hyperkähler manifold (see §2.5.3 for notation), with corresponding 2-forms $\omega_\bullet$ ($\bullet \in \{I, J, K\}$). The following conditions are equivalent on a submanifold $L \subset X$:*

(i) *For the choice of complex structure $I$, holomorphic form $\Omega_I = \omega_J + \sqrt{-1}\omega_K$ and Kähler form $\omega_I$, $L$ is sLag.*
(ii) *For the choice of complex structure $J$, $L$ is a complex submanifold.*

(iii) *The two forms $\omega_I, \omega_K$ vanish when restricted to $L$, and $\omega_J$ restricted to $L$ coincides with Riemannian volume.*

*In particular, special Lagrangian torus fibrations on $(X, \Omega_I, \omega_I)$ are in bijective correspondence with elliptic fibrations on $(X, J)$.*

*Proof.* The equivalence of (i) and (iii) follows from the definitions.

Assume now (iii), i.e. $\omega_I|_L = \omega_K|_L = 0$ and $\omega_J|_L = d\operatorname{Vol}_g$. By Wirtinger's theorem, the last equality implies that the tangent spaces to $L$ are at every point $J$-complex subspaces of the ambient space. Therefore $L$ is a $J$-complex submanifold, so (ii) holds.

Conversely, consider $X$ equipped with the complex structure $J$. Then the corresponding holomorphic 2-form is $\Omega_J := \omega_K + \sqrt{-1}\omega_I$ and the Kähler form is $\omega_J$. Let now $L \subset X$ be a real 2-dimensional submanifold which is a complex submanifold for $J$. Then $\Omega_J|_L = 0$ since $\Omega_J$ is a $J$-holomorphic 2-form, and $\omega_J|_L = d\operatorname{Vol}_g$ since $L$ is a complex submanifold, and $\omega_J$ is the Kähler form. This gives (iii). □

**2.6.8. Picture in the twistor sphere.** Recall that a hyperkähler manifold gave rise to a twistor family over $\mathbb{S}^2$ (see §2.5). The complex structure $(X, J)$ corresponds to a point on the twistor sphere, and it has an orthogonal equator $\mathbb{S}^1_J \subset \mathbb{S}^2$. Every point on $\mathbb{S}^1_J$ is of the form $I_t := xI + zK$ with $x^2 + z^2 = 1$.




An elliptic fibration on $(X, J)$ gives a sLag fibration on each point $(X, I_t)$ on $\mathbb{S}^1_J$. Indeed, once $I_t$ is picked on $\mathbb{S}^1_J$, there is a unique $I_{t^\perp}$ such that $(I_t, J, I_{t^\perp})$ satisfy the quarternionic commutation relations. Then the holomorphic 2-form on $(X, I_t)$ is given by $\Omega_t := \omega_J + \sqrt{-1}\omega_{I_t^\perp}$ and $\omega_t = g(I_t -, -)$.

**2.6.9. Remark.** Elliptic fibrations at the complex structure $J$ are in natural bijective correspondence to ones at the complex structure $-J$, with the same underlying set-theoretical fibrations. The corresponding equator $\mathbb{S}^1_J$ is unique, however.

**2.6.10. Volume of a fibration.** For an elliptic fibration $X \xrightarrow{\pi} \mathbb{P}^1$ on a K3 surface with Kähler form $\omega$, define the volume of the fibration to

be

$$\mathrm{Vol}(X \xrightarrow{\pi} \mathbb{P}^1) := \int_{\pi^{-1}(pt)} \omega. \tag{2.6.11}$$

This is the volume of a fiber, and is independent of the choice of fiber.

For a sLag fibration $X \xrightarrow{\pi} \mathbb{S}^2$ of a K3 surface $X$ with holomorphic 2-form $\Omega$, define

$$\mathrm{Vol}(X \xrightarrow{\pi} \mathbb{S}^2) := \int_{\pi^{-1}(pt)} \Omega. \tag{2.6.12}$$

This is also equal to the Riemannian volume of the fibers, by the condition to be a special Lagrangian.

In both Eqn. (2.6.11) and Eqn. (2.6.12), the volumes are computed by integrating a closed 2-form over the fiber of a fibration, and since the fibers are homologous the volume is independent of the choice of fiber. Moreover, the volume can be computed cohomologically. We have the class of fiber $e := [\pi^{-1}(pt)] \in H_2(X;\mathbb{Z})$ and the cohomology class of the Kähler form $[\omega] \in H^2(X;\mathbb{R})$ (or $[\mathrm{Re}\,\Omega]$ for sLags). In the elliptic fibration case, the volume has the cohomological expression:

$$\mathrm{Vol}(X \xrightarrow{\pi} \mathbb{P}^1) = e \cdot [\omega] \tag{2.6.13}$$

Consider the positive-definite 3-plane

$$P = span(\omega, \mathrm{Re}\,\Omega, \mathrm{Im}\,\Omega) \subset H^2(X;\mathbb{R}).$$

It leads to an orthogonal decomposition $H^2(X;\mathbb{R}) = P \oplus P^\perp$ and let $e = e_P \oplus e_{P^\perp}$ denote the coordinates of $e$. Note that $e_P$ is proportional to $[\omega]$ since $e_P$ is orthogonal to $span(\mathrm{Re}\,\Omega, \mathrm{Im}\,\Omega)$ (being the class of a complex submanifold) and in fact $\frac{e_P}{\|e_P\|} = [\omega]$ since $\omega$ is normalized to give volume 1 to $X$.

Then the volume in Eqn. (2.6.13) can be rewritten as

$$\mathrm{Vol}(X \xrightarrow{\pi} \mathbb{P}^1) = e \cdot \frac{e_P}{\|e_P\|} \tag{2.6.14}$$

**2.6.15. Integral affine structures.** Recall that by the Arnold–Liouville theorem (see Remark 2.6.6) the fibers[3] of a proper Lagrangian fibrations $\mathcal{X} \to B$ are tori. For a point on the base $b \in B$ the cotangent space $T_b^\vee B$ is naturally identified with the universal cover of the fiber, since the fiber is Lagrangian. Moreover, the integral lattice corresponding to the first homology group gives a local system in the cotangent, and hence tangent, bundle of the base.

[3]Throughout, fibers are assumed connected.

To get "flat" coordinates on the base, suppose given a short path from $b_0$ to $b_1$ on $B$. For an integral homology class $a \in H_1(\mathcal{X}_{b_0}; \mathbb{Z})$, pick a representative circle in $\mathcal{X}_b$ and extend it smoothly to a cylinder lying over the path from $b_0$ to $b_1$. Then the integral of the symplectic form over the cylinder is independent of any choices, and gives a coordinate corresponding to the homology class $a$. As $a$ ranges over a basis in $H_1(\mathcal{X}_{b_0}; \mathbb{Z})$ this gives a coordinate system.

For a more detailed discussion of these topics, see [Aud03].




Focus-Focus Singularity

**2.6.16. Mirror symmetry and examples.** Special Lagrangian fibrations appear in the Strominger–Yau–Zaslow approach to mirror symmetry [SYZ96]. The Gross–Siebert program is an approach to construct explicit algebro-geometric objects starting from the integral-affine structures on the base of special Lagrangian fibrations, see e.g. [Gro11] for an introduction.

For an example of an integral-affine structure on the sphere, consider the lattice polytope with vertices $(-1,-1,-1), (3,-1,-1), (-1,3,-1), (-1,-1,3)$. The sphere will be modeled as the boundary of this polytope, call it $S$. There are 6 edges, with 3 integral points in the interior of each. Between the lattice points on each edge, mark a singularity (its position is irrelevant) to get 4 singularities on each edge, for a total of 24 singularities.




FIGURE 2.6.17. Parallel lines in two overlapping charts, near points $A$ and $B$. They are given by intersections with planes parallel to $\vec{OA}$ and $\vec{OB}$ respectively.

The chart to $\mathbb{R}^2$ around a lattice point $v \in S$ is given by the quotient map $\mathbb{R}^3 \big/ \mathbb{R} \cdot v$. Note that the quotient carries a natural integral structure, and the transition maps between charts will be integral-affine.

In the interior of a face and at the vertices of the polytope, the structure is *smooth*. When crossing an edge one way at a lattice point, and going back near an adjacent lattice point, one gets a unipotent monodromy matrix. A collection of parallel lines is illustrated in Figure 2.6.17 and they give a focus-focus singularity.

# 3. Counting

## 3.1. Statements

**3.1.1. Setup.** Let $\Lambda_{\mathbb{Z}}$ be a lattice isomorphic to $H^2(X;\mathbb{Z})$ for a K3 surface $X$. It will be assumed throughout this section that all K3 surfaces are marked (in the sense of §2.2.1) by $\Lambda_{\mathbb{Z}}$, so that we can speak of subspaces inside $\Lambda_{\mathbb{Z}}$, or its real or complex elements $\Lambda_{\mathbb{R}}, \Lambda_{\mathbb{C}}$.

**3.1.2. Twistors.** A choice of Kähler class $[\omega] \in H^2(X;\mathbb{R})$ gives a positive-definite 3-plane $\langle [\operatorname{Re}\Omega], [\operatorname{Im}\Omega], [\omega]\rangle \subset H^2(X;\mathbb{R})$. Applying the twistor construction from §2.5 gives a family of K3 surfaces $X_t$ with $t \in \mathbb{P}^1$, with Kähler forms $\omega_t$ and holomorphic 2-forms $\Omega_t$ (with $\Omega_t$ well-defined only up to a unit complex number). However, the span $\langle [\operatorname{Re}\Omega_t], [\operatorname{Im}\Omega_t], [\omega_t]\rangle$ stays constant in $H^2(X;\mathbb{R})$.

Therefore a twistor family gives a positive-definite 3-plane $P \subset H^2(X;\mathbb{R}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \Lambda_{\mathbb{R}}$. Conversely, given such a 3-plane by the Torelli theorem there is an associated twistor family.

Note that the base of the twistor family is naturally the unit 2-sphere in the 3-plane $P$. An element $t \in \mathbb{S}^2(P)$ gives a natural decomposition $P = (\mathbb{R} \cdot t) \oplus t^{\perp}$, and the Kähler form $\omega_t$ is given tautologically by requiring its cohomology class to be $t$, while $H^{2,0} \oplus H^{0,2}$ is just the complexification of $t^{\perp}$.

**3.1.3. Definition.** A twistor family is *generic* if all K3 surfaces in it have rank of the Néron–Severi group at most 1.

The next result justifies the name "generic" for such twistor families by showing that they form a set of full measure.

**3.1.4. Proposition.** *The positive-definite* 3*-planes* $P \subset \Lambda_{\mathbb{R}}$ *giving generic twistor families are the complement of countably many proper submanifolds in the Grassmanian of all positive-definite* 3*-planes.*

*Proof.* Let $N \subset \Lambda_{\mathbb{Z}}$ be a rank 2 submodule, and let $\mathrm{Gr}^+(3, \Lambda_{\mathbb{R}})$ be the Grassmanian of positive-definite 3-planes. Consider the set $D_N \subset \mathrm{Gr}^+(3, \Lambda_{\mathbb{R}})$ of 3-planes $P$ for which $N$ is contained in the Néron–Severi group for some element $t$ in the twistor family $\mathbb{S}^2(P)$ (recall that we view $t$ as a unit vector in $P$). We will see that $D_N$ is of codimension 1 in the Grassmanian, hence generic twistor families are the complement of the countable union of the $D_N$ as $N$ ranges over all rank 2 submodules of $\Lambda_{\mathbb{Z}}$.

Now given a 3-plane $P$ we have an orthogonal decomposition $\Lambda_{\mathbb{R}} = P \oplus P^{\perp}$. Denote orthogonal projection onto $P$ by $\pi_P$. Then $N$ is contained in the Néron–Severi group of an element $t \in P$ if and only if $\dim \pi_P(N) \leq 1$. Indeed, if $\dim \pi_P(N) = 1$ then $N$ belongs to the Néron–Severi group associated to the image line, and if the dimension is zero (i.e. $N \subset P^{\perp}$) then $N$ is in the Néron–Severi group of each element of the corresponding twistor family. If the dimension of the projection is 2, then clearly $N$ cannot occur in any Néron–Severi group.

Since $N$ is 2-dimensional, the condition $\dim \pi_P(N) \leq 1$ is a codimension 1 condition on $P$. □

From now on, fix a generic positive-definite 3-plane $P \subset H^2(X; \mathbb{R}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \Lambda_{\mathbb{R}}$ and the associated twistor family.

**3.1.5. Volumes in a twistor family.** For a primitive null vector $e \in \Lambda^0_{\mathbb{Z}}$ denote by $\dot{e}_P$ the corresponding element of the twistor sphere at which $e$ belongs to the Néron–Severi group. Define the *volume* of $e$ relative to the twistor family to be the cup product $(\dot{e}_P) \cdot e$ (see Eqn. (2.6.14)). When $e$ represents the cohomology class of a fiber in an elliptic (or sLag) fibration, then this coincides with the notion of volume from §2.6.10.

**3.1.6. Theorem.** *For a generic (in the sense of Definition 3.1.3) twistor family associated to a positive-definite 3-plane $P \subset \Lambda_{\mathbb{R}}$ we have the following asymptotic count and equidistribution of elliptic fibrations on the twistor sphere.*

*Let $w : \mathbb{S}^2(P) \to \mathbb{R}$ be a smooth weight function on the twistor sphere. For $t \in \mathbb{S}^2(P)$ denote by $\mathrm{Vol}(t)$ the volume of a fibration at $t$, if such exists; by the genericity assumption on $P$, there is at most one fibration for each $t$. Then the following asymptotic formula holds as $V \to \infty$:*

$$\sum_{\mathrm{Vol}(t) \leq V} w(t) = \frac{\mathrm{Vol}\, Y}{20 \cdot \mathrm{Vol}\, X} \cdot \left( \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} w \right) \cdot V^{20} + O_w\left(V^{20-\delta}\right). \tag{3.1.7}$$

*The constant $\frac{\mathrm{Vol}\, Y}{\mathrm{Vol}\, X}$ is the ratio of volumes of two homogeneous spaces, described in §3.2.8. The constant $\delta > 0$ is universal and independent*

*of $w$. The implied constant in $O_w(-)$ depends on $w$, more specifically on a sufficiently high Sobolev norm.*

**3.1.8. Equidistribution of poles and equators.** The result stated in Theorem A of the introduction refers to special Lagrangian fibrations, and therefore to the equators with poles corresponding to elliptic fibrations. While the count is the same up to a factor of $1/2$, equidistribution of equators follows from equidistribution of their corresponding poles. Let us see why.

Recall that the Funk transform $\mathcal{F} : L^2(\mathbb{S}^2) \to L^2(\mathbb{S}^2)$ takes a function to its integral over corresponding equators. The image consists of all even functions, and the kernel are the odd functions (for the antipodal involution). Because the transform commutes with orthogonal transformations, it acts by scalars on the space of harmonic polynomials. Namely, the Funk transform on harmonic polynomials annihilates the odd degree ones, and acts in degree $2n$ by the scalar $(-1)^n \frac{1\cdot 3\cdots(2n-1)}{2\cdot 4\cdots(2n)}$ ([Fun13]). From this, (quantitative) equidisitribution of equators becomes equivalent to (quantitative) equidistribution of their poles.

**3.1.9. Equivalent formulation.** Theorem 3.1.6 can be formulated purely in terms of homogeneous objects. Recall that $\Lambda^0_{\mathbb{Z}}$ denoted the primitive null vectors, and for an element $e \in \Lambda^0_{\mathbb{Z}}$ the unique point in $\mathbb{S}^2(P)$ at which $e$ is in the Néron–Severi group was denoted $\dot{e}_P$. By the genericity assumption on $P$, at $\dot{e}_P$ the class of $e$ or $-e$ is realized by an elliptic fibration, since there are no $(-2)$ curves and the Kähler cone is maximal (see Corollary 2.6.2). Therefore, the statement of Theorem 3.1.6 can be reformulated as:

$$\sum_{\substack{e\in\Lambda^0_{\mathbb{Z}}\\ 0\le(\dot{e}_P).e\le V}} w(\dot{e}_P) = \frac{\operatorname{Vol} Y}{20\cdot\operatorname{Vol} X}\cdot\left(\int_{\mathbb{S}^2} w\right)\cdot V^{20} + O_w\left(V^{20-\delta}\right). \tag{3.1.10}$$

It is this statement that we will prove in this section.

**3.1.11. Polynomial vs. Exponential formulation.** The proof of Eqn. (3.1.10) will involve a flow on a homogeneous space, with time parameter $t \in \mathbb{R}$. Associated to each null vector $e$ there will be a "hitting time" $t(e)$ (see §3.3.3) related to the volume of the fibration by

$$\exp\left(t(e)\right) = (\dot{e}_P)\cdot e.$$

Below exponentials will be denoted $e^\bullet$ but these should not cause confusion regarding the null vector $e$. In exponential form, the counting in Eqn. (3.1.10) can be rewritten as

$$\sum_{\substack{e\in\Lambda^0_{\mathbb{Z}}\\ t(e)\leq T}} w(\dot{e}_P) = \frac{\operatorname{Vol} Y}{20\cdot \operatorname{Vol} X}\cdot\left(\int_{\mathbb{S}^2} w\right)\cdot e^{20\cdot t} + O_w\left(e^{(20-\delta)\cdot t}\right). \tag{3.1.12}$$

**3.1.13. Proof sketch.** We will consider a homogeneous space $X = \Gamma\backslash G$ and a homogeneous subspace $Y \subset X$ (see §3.2 for more precise notation). For a 1-parameter homogeneous flow $a_t$ on $X$, the translates of $Y$ will quantitatively equidistribute in $X$; this is established in Section 4 below, but will be assumed in this section.

Now inside $X$ there will be a compact subset $K$ with $\dim K + \dim Y + 1 = \dim X$. The times $t$ when the $a_t$-translates of $Y$ intersect $K$ will correspond to $t$'s in Eqn. (3.1.12) which occur in the summation.

The function $w$ that appears in the count can be thickened to a function on $X$ supported near $K$, and the quantitative equidistribution of the $Y$-translates will give the desired count.

We note that in fact, the equidistribution results proved below extend to functions $w : \mathbb{S}^2(P)\times\mathbb{S}^{18}(P^\perp) \to \mathbb{R}$. An elliptic fibration with class of fiber $e$ gives both a coordinate $e_P \in P$ and $e_{P^\perp} \in P^\perp$; both coordinates equidistribute quantitatively. However, the meaning of $e_{P^\perp}$ is not clear in the setting of K3 surfaces.

## 3.2. Some preliminaries

**3.2.1. Setup.** For the remainder of this section fix a lattice $\Lambda_{\mathbb{Z}}$ isomorphic to the second cohomology of a K3 surface. Extending scalars to $\mathbb{R}$ gives the vector space $\Lambda_{\mathbb{R}}$ equipped with an inner product of signature $(3, 19)$.

Fix a positive-definite 3-plane $P \subset \Lambda_{\mathbb{R}}$ and denote its orthogonal complement by $P^\perp$. Assume that $P$ satisfies the genericity assumption from Definition 3.1.3. Denote the set of primitive integral isotropic vectors by $\Lambda^0_{\mathbb{Z}}$ and fix an element $e$ in it.

**3.2.2. Decompositions.** For any vector $v \in \Lambda_{\mathbb{R}}$ denote by $v_P$ and $v_{P^\perp}$ the coordinates of $v$ for the decomposition $\Lambda_{\mathbb{R}} = P \oplus P^\perp$. For example the fixed integral primitive isotropic vector $e$ has a decomposition

$$e = e_P \oplus e_{P^\perp} \in P \oplus P^\perp.$$

For a vector $v \in P$ denote its projection to $\mathbb{S}(P)$ – the unit sphere of $P$ – by $\dot{v}$:

$$\dot{v} := \frac{v}{(v^2)^{1/2}} \text{ so that } (\dot{v})^2 = 1. \tag{3.2.3}$$

The same notation will apply to vectors in $P^\perp$ and to its unit sphere.

Note that for an isotropic vector $e$ we have

$$0 = e^2 = (e)_P^2 + (e)_{P^\perp}^2 \qquad \text{so} \qquad (e)_P^2 = -(e)_{P^\perp}^2. \tag{3.2.4}$$

**3.2.5. Groups.** Denote the orthogonal groups by

$$\begin{aligned} G &:= \mathrm{O}(\Lambda_{\mathbb{R}}) \text{ and } \Gamma := \mathrm{O}(\Lambda_{\mathbb{Z}}) \\ K &:= \mathrm{O}(P) \times \mathrm{O}(P^\perp) \text{ maximal compact in } G. \end{aligned} \tag{3.2.6}$$

The corresponding stabilizers of the fixed isotropic vector $e$ are

$$\begin{aligned} H_e &:= \mathrm{Stab}_G(e) \text{ and } \Gamma_e := \mathrm{Stab}_\Gamma(e) \\ K_e &:= \mathrm{Stab}_K(e) \text{ maximal compact in } H. \end{aligned} \tag{3.2.7}$$

Note that $H_e$ is a $\mathbb{Q}$-subgroup of $G$ and $\Gamma_e$ is a lattice inside it.

**3.2.8. Locally homogeneous spaces.** With the notation as in §3.2.5, define the following quotient spaces:

$$\begin{aligned} X &:= \Gamma \backslash G \\ X_e &:= \Gamma_e \backslash G \\ Y &:= \Gamma_e \backslash H_e \end{aligned} \qquad\qquad \begin{array}{ccc} Y & \hookrightarrow & X_e \\ & \searrow & \downarrow \\ & & X \end{array}$$

The quotients $Y$ and $X$ have finite volume, whereas $X_e$ is infinite-volume. Note that $X$ and $X_e$ carry a right $G$-action.

**3.2.9. Sphere parametrization.** Using the compact groups $K \supset K_e$ yields a natural parametrization of the unit spheres:

$$\begin{aligned} K/K_e &\leftrightarrow \mathbb{S}(P) \times \mathbb{S}(P^\perp) \\ [k] &\mapsto [k] \cdot (\dot{e}_P, \dot{e}_{P^\perp}) \,. \end{aligned} \tag{3.2.10}$$

**3.2.11. Primitive null vector parametrization.** A classical theorem of Eichler [Eic52, Satz 10.4] gives a criterion for when two primitive vectors in a lattice $\Lambda$ are related by an orthogonal transformation, assuming the lattice contains two hyperbolic planes. Namely, the vectors must have the same length, and they must have the same image in $\Lambda^\vee/\Lambda$ (which is a finite group). In our particular case the length is

zero, and $\Lambda = \Lambda^\vee$. In conclusion, for any two primitive integral null vectors $e_1, e_2 \in \Lambda_\mathbb{Z}$ there exists an integral orthogonal transformation taking $e_1$ to $e_2$.

Therefore, the $\Gamma$-orbit of $e$ gives a natural parametrization of the primitive integral vectors:

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma/\Gamma_e &\leftrightarrow \Lambda^0_\mathbb{Z} \\ [\gamma] &\mapsto [\gamma]\cdot e. \end{aligned}$$

**3.2.12. Cosets.** Each null vector $f \in \Lambda_\mathbb{R}$ gives a point on each the unit spheres $(\dot{f}_P, \dot{f}_{P^\perp}) \in \mathbb{S}(P) \times \mathbb{S}(P^\perp)$. Using §3.2.9, this is the same as a right $K_e$-coset $C_f \subset K$. Since by §3.2.11 each integral primitive null vector is of the form $[\gamma]e$ for $[\gamma] \in \Gamma/\Gamma_e$, denote by $C_{[\gamma]} \subset K$ the corresponding right $K_e$-coset.

## 3.3. Some dynamics

**3.3.1. The key one-parameter subgroup.** Associated to $e$ and $P$ is another isotropic vector $e'$ defined by

$$\begin{aligned} e &= (e)_P \oplus (e)_{P^\perp} \\ e' &= (e)_P \oplus -(e)_{P^\perp}. \end{aligned}$$

We can now define the one-parameter subgroup $a_t \subset G$ by

$$\begin{aligned} a_t \cdot e &= \exp(-t)\cdot e \\ a_t \cdot e' &= \exp(t)\cdot e' \end{aligned} \tag{3.3.2}$$

and acting as the identity on $(e \oplus e')^\perp$.

**3.3.3. Hitting time.** The vectors $C_{[\gamma]}e$ and $[\gamma]e$ have proportional coordinates in $P$ and $P^\perp$. Define $t([\gamma]) \in \mathbb{R}$ by the requirement

$$e^{2\cdot t([\gamma])}(e)_P^2 = ([\gamma]e)_P^2$$

so that we have the identity

$$C_{[\gamma]}a_{-t([\gamma])}e = [\gamma]e. \tag{3.3.4}$$

Indeed, both the left and right-hand side of Eqn. (3.3.4) have proportional coordinates in $P$ and $P^\perp$ and the action of $a_\bullet$ ensures that the lengths of the coordinates also agree.

For the next proposition recall that $H_e$ is the stabilizer of $e$ in $G$.

**3.3.5. Proposition.** *We have the equality of subsets of $G$:*

$$C_{[\gamma]} = K \cap \left([\gamma] H_e a_{t([\gamma])}\right).$$

*Proof.* Rewrite the identity (3.3.4) as

$$[\gamma]^{-1} C_{[\gamma]} \cdot a_{-t([\gamma])} e = e$$

so that

$$[\gamma]^{-1} C_{[\gamma]} \cdot a_{-t([\gamma])} \subset H_e \text{ or equivalently } C_{[\gamma]} \subset [\gamma] H_e a_{t([\gamma])}.$$

By construction $C_{[\gamma]} \subset K$ so one direction of the inclusion follows.

For the reverse inclusion, note that any element of $[\gamma] H_e a_{t([\gamma])}$ takes $e$ to a vector proportional to $[\gamma]e$, but preserving the lengths of the $P$ and $P^\perp$ coordinates. Requiring that it is additionally in $K$ implies that it is contained in $C_{[\gamma]}$. □

**3.3.6. Summary.** Every $[\gamma] \in \Gamma/\Gamma_e$ has the following data attached:

(i) A primitive integrall null vector $\gamma \cdot e \in \Lambda^0_{\mathbb{Z}}$.
(ii) A right $K_e$-coset $C_{[\gamma]} \subset K$ whose action on $e$ aligns its $P \oplus P^\perp$ coordinates with those of $[\gamma]e$.
(iii) A scalar $t([\gamma]) \in \mathbb{R}$ corresponding to the log of the proportion of the $P$ coordinates of $e$ and $[\gamma]e$.
(iv) By Proposition 3.3.5 we have the equality of sets

$$C_{[\gamma]} = K \cap \left([\gamma] H_e a_{t([\gamma])}\right) \tag{3.3.7}$$

(v) For distinct cosets $[\gamma]$ and $[\gamma']$ the values of $t([-])$ could agree. However, the cosets $C_{[-]}$ are necessarily distinct since $[\gamma]$ and $[\gamma']$ correspond to primitive vectors, and there is a unique one on each line.

## 3.4. Natural measures and Intersections

For the equidistribution and counting results used below, we will need to fix appropriate measures on the homogeneous spaces. This section describes the normalizations. Additionally, we describe the intersections of the translates $Y \cdot a_t \subset X$ with the compact group $K \subset H$.

**3.4.1. Constant-volume measure.** The coset $Ya_t \subset X$ carries two natural measures. The first one, denoted $\mu_{Ya_t}$, comes from pushing forward the Haar measure on $Y$ via $a_t$:

$$\begin{aligned} (-) \cdot a_t : Y &\to Ya_t \\ \mu_Y &\mapsto \mu_{Ya_t} \end{aligned}$$

In particular, the total volume of $\mu_{Ya_t}$ is independent of $t$.

**3.4.2. Homogeneous measure.** The second measure, denoted $\mu_{Y_t}$, is defined as the induced measure on $Ya_t$ viewed as a homogeneous space under $H_e$. Indeed, the right action of $H_e$ on $Ya_t$ gives the identification

$$Ya_t \cong a_{-t}\Gamma_e a_t \backslash H_e.$$

**3.4.3. Proposition.** *The two measures are related by a scaling factor:*

$$\mu_{Ya_t} \cdot e^{20\cdot t} = \mu_{Y_t}.$$

*Proof.* Consider the conjugation actions

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Ad}_{a_t} : H_e &\to H_e & \mathrm{ad}_{a_t} : \mathfrak{h}_0 &\to \mathfrak{h}_0 \\ h_0 &\mapsto a_{-t} h_0 a_t & \text{on the Lie algebra.} \end{aligned} \tag{3.4.4}$$

Looking at the tangent space to the identity in $H_e$, it follows that

$$\mu_{Ya_t} \cdot \det\left(\mathrm{ad}_{a_t}\right) = \mu_{Y_t} \tag{3.4.5}$$

But the adjoint action of $a_t$ on $H_e$ preserves the semisimple part, and exponentially expands the unipotent part (see also §3.5). The dimension of the unipotent part is 20, so the determinant is $e^{20\cdot t}$. □

**3.4.6. Intersections.** Consider the set $\Gamma \cdot K \subset G$ and its projection to $X_e$, denoted ${}_{\Gamma_e}\backslash\Gamma \cdot K \subset X_e$. The following is a reformulation of Eqn. (3.3.7).

**3.4.7. Proposition.** *The cosets $[\gamma] \in {}^{\Gamma}/_{\Gamma_e}$ are in bijection with intersections between $(\Gamma_e\backslash\Gamma) \cdot K$ and translates $Y \cdot a_t$ inside $X_e$. The intersection occurs at $t = t([\gamma])$ and consists of the set $[\gamma]^{-1} C_{[\gamma]}$.*

*Equivalently, projecting down to $X$, the bijection is between cosets $[\gamma]$ and intersections of $Y \cdot a_t$ with $K \subset X = \Gamma\backslash G$.*

*Proof.* The equivalence of the two statements is immediate. To see the first one, apply $[\gamma]^{-1}$ to Eqn. (3.3.7). □

**3.4.8. Remark.** In the case of non-generic $K$, i.e. of non-generic 3-plane $P$, the above statement has to be adjusted. Indeed, it could happen that the intersection $\Gamma \cap K$ is non-trivial. One then has to take into account this finite group of symmetries and its action on the cosets $C_{[\gamma]}$.

This situation is excluded from the current analysis by assumption.

## 3.5. Decompositions of the Lie algebra

This section contains the necessary Lie algebra decompositions for subsequent arguments. They are made explicit, in terms of matrices, in Appendix A.

The maximal compact $K \subset G$ gives a Cartan involution $\theta$ on $G$ and on $\mathfrak{g} := \operatorname{Lie} G$. A subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}$ is *symmetric* if it is $\theta$-invariant.

The one-parameter subgroup $a_t$ defined in (3.3.2) is symmetric. Let $\mathfrak{a}$ denote its Lie algebra, which is 1-dimensional, and let $\mathfrak{a}^+ \subset \mathfrak{a}$ be the fixed positive Weyl chamber, corresponding to the positive values of the parameter $t$ in (3.3.2).

**3.5.1. Weight decomposition.** The adjoint action of $\mathfrak{a}$ on $\mathfrak{g}$ has the weights $\{-1, 0, +1\}$ and gives the decomposition

$$\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{n}^- \oplus (\mathfrak{m} \oplus \mathfrak{a}) \oplus \mathfrak{n}^+.$$

The subalgebra $\mathfrak{m}$ is picked so that it is orthogonal (for the Killing form) to $\mathfrak{a}$. The involution $\theta$ exchanges $\mathfrak{n}^+$ and $\mathfrak{n}^-$.

**3.5.2. Reductive part.** Note that $\mathfrak{m}$ itself decomposes:

$$\mathfrak{m} = \mathfrak{k}_e \oplus \mathfrak{p}_{\mathfrak{m}} \text{ where } \mathfrak{k}_e = \mathfrak{m} \cap \mathfrak{k} = \operatorname{Lie} K_e \text{ and } \theta|_{\mathfrak{p}_{\mathfrak{m}}} = -\mathbb{1}.$$

**3.5.3. Horospherical part.** Recall that $H_e := \operatorname{Stab}_e G$. Then its Lie algebra is:

$$\operatorname{Lie} H_e = \mathfrak{h}_e = \mathfrak{m} \oplus \mathfrak{n}^+ = (\mathfrak{k}_e \oplus \mathfrak{p}_{\mathfrak{m}}) \oplus \mathfrak{n}^+. \tag{3.5.4}$$

**3.5.5. A transverse to the compact part.** The following decomposition will be useful when constructing a thickening of the compact subgroup $K \subset G$:

$$\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{k} \oplus \left(\mathfrak{p}_{\mathfrak{m}} \oplus \mathfrak{n}^+\right) \oplus \mathfrak{a}. \tag{3.5.6}$$

Indeed, this follows from the more refined composition

$$\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{k}^c \oplus \left( \overbrace{\underbrace{\mathfrak{k}_e \oplus \mathfrak{p}_{\mathfrak{m}}}_{\mathfrak{m}} \oplus \mathfrak{n}^+}^{\mathfrak{h}_e} \right) \oplus \mathfrak{a} \tag{3.5.7}$$

where $\mathfrak{k} = \mathfrak{k}^c \oplus \mathfrak{k}_e$, $\operatorname{Lie} K_e = \mathfrak{k}_e$ and

$$\mathfrak{k}^c = \{x \oplus -\theta(x) \in \mathfrak{n}^- \oplus \mathfrak{n}^+ \,|\, \forall x \in \mathfrak{n}^-\}.$$

This shows that locally on $G$, the right $a_t$-translates of $H_e$ intersect $K$ along right $K_e$-cosets.

**3.5.8. Norms.** The Cartan-Killing pairing on $\mathfrak{g}$ is indefinite. However, the choice of a maximal compact $K$ (equivalently, of Cartan involution $\theta$) determines a natural metric on $\mathfrak{g}$. In particular, this determines natural volume forms on all subalgebras.

**3.5.9. Thickening of $K$.** The next construction provides a good thickening of functions on the compact $K \subset G$, with control on the Sobolev norm. Sobolev norms on the non-compact space $X$ are defined in §4.2, but since $K$ is compact and the thickening will be supported in the $\epsilon$-neighborhood of $K$, the usual definitions of Sobolev norms apply.

**3.5.10. Proposition.** *Let $w : K \to \mathbb{R}$ be a smooth function. For any sufficiently small $\epsilon > 0$ there exists a function $w_\epsilon : G \to \mathbb{R}$ with the following properties:*

(i) *The support of $w_\epsilon$ is in the $\epsilon$-neighborhood of $K$ and the masses of $w$ and $w_\epsilon$ agree:*

$$\int_G w_\epsilon \, d\mu_G = \int_K w \, d\mu_K. \tag{3.5.11}$$

(ii) *The $l$-th Sobolev norm of $w_\epsilon$ satisfies the following bound, for some constant $d_l$ depending on $l$ and implicit constant depending on $w$:*

$$\|w_\epsilon\|_l \ll_w (\epsilon)^{-d_l}. \tag{3.5.12}$$

(iii) *Fix a right $K_e$-coset $kK_e \subset K$ and consider the family of orbits $kH_e \cdot a_t \subset G$ with $t \in (-\epsilon, \epsilon)$ (recall that $K_e \subset H_e$, see also (3.5.7)). We then have the equality of integrals:*

$$\int_{-\epsilon}^{\epsilon} dt \left( \int_{kH_e \cdot a_t} w_\epsilon \, d\mu_{H_t} \right) = \int_{kK_e} w \, d\mu_{K_e} \tag{3.5.13}$$

*Here $d\mu_{H_t}$ denotes the measure on $H_e \cdot a_t$ when viewed as a homogeneous $H_e$-orbit (see §3.4.2 and Proposition 3.4.3).*

*Proof.* Eqn. (3.5.6) provides a transverse chart to $K$, i.e. a diffeormorphism onto a local neighborhood of $K$:

$$\begin{aligned} K \times \mathfrak{p}_{\mathfrak{m},\delta} \times \mathfrak{n}_\delta^+ \times \mathfrak{a}_\delta &\to G \\ (k, p, n, a) &\mapsto k \cdot \exp(p) \cdot \exp(n) \cdot \exp(a) \end{aligned} \tag{3.5.14}$$

The subscripts $(\bullet)_\delta$ denote $\delta$-neighborhoods of the corresponding vector spaces, for some uniform $\delta > 0$. Note that Haar measure on $G$ pulls back to Haar measure on $K$ times some measure on $\mathfrak{p}_{\mathfrak{m},\delta} \times \mathfrak{n}_\delta^+ \times \mathfrak{a}_\delta$ which is essentially Euclidean, up to uniform constants.

Fix now a bump function $\chi$ on $\mathfrak{p}_{\mathfrak{m}} \times \mathfrak{n}^+ \times \mathfrak{a}$ and let $\chi_\epsilon$ denote its rescalings:

$$\chi_\epsilon(k,x) := f(k,\epsilon)\epsilon^{-c_1(l)} \cdot \chi\left(\frac{x}{\epsilon}\right) \quad \text{for } k \in K \tag{3.5.15}$$

where the prefactor $f(k,\epsilon)\epsilon^{-c_1(l)}$ is chosen such that $\int \chi_\epsilon(k,x)dx = 1$ for all $k \in K$. Note that because the pull-back of Haar measure is Euclidean up to bounded factor, the multiplier $f(k,\epsilon)$ is also uniformly bounded.

Next, the $l$-th Sobolev norm of $\chi_\epsilon$ is bounded by $\epsilon^{-c(l)}$ times the $l$-th Sobolev norm of $\chi$, where $c(l)$ is some universal constant depending on $l$. Define the thickening of $w$ by

$$w_\epsilon(k,x) := w(k) \cdot \chi_\epsilon(k,\epsilon). \tag{3.5.16}$$

Note that this function satisfies by construction $\int w_\epsilon(k,x)dx = w(k)$. The weaker identity required by Eqn. (3.5.13) follows by integrating this along $K_e$-cosets. □

## 3.6. The count

This section assembles the ingredients discussed above and proves the counting from Theorem 3.1.6. Let $w$ be the function appearing in the statement, viewed as a function on the compact group $K$ which is right $K_e$-invariant. Proposition 3.5.10 gives a family of functions $w_\epsilon$ to which the arguments below apply.

**3.6.1. Quantitative Equidistribution.** Theorem 4.3.1 in the next section gives quantitative equidistribution of the right $a_t$-translates of $Y$. Applied to $w_\epsilon$ it gives

$$\int_{Ya_t} w_\epsilon \, d\mu_{Ya_t} = \frac{\operatorname{Vol} Y}{\operatorname{Vol} X}\left(\int_X w_\epsilon \, d\mu_X\right) + O\left(\|w_\epsilon\|_l \, e^{-\delta \cdot t}\right). \tag{3.6.2}$$

Recall that $d\mu_{Ya_t}$ denoted a fixed volume measure on $Ya_t$ and $\operatorname{Vol} Y$ is taken for this measure. The factor $\|w_\epsilon\|_l$ denotes the $l$-th Sobolev norm of $w_\epsilon$.

**3.6.3. Approximate Counting Function.** Changing the measure in Eqn. (3.6.2) to $d\mu_{Y_t}$ (see Proposition 3.4.3) simply multiplies both

sides by a factor of $e^{20\cdot t}$:

$$f(t,\epsilon) := \int_{Ya_t} w_\epsilon \, d\mu_{Y_t} = \\ = \frac{\operatorname{Vol} Y}{\operatorname{Vol} X}\left(\int_X w_\epsilon \, d\mu_X\right) e^{20\cdot t} + O\left(\|w_\epsilon\|_l \, e^{(20-\delta)\cdot t}\right). \tag{3.6.4}$$

The approximate counting function is defined to be the integrated version of this quantity:

$$F(T,\epsilon) := \int_{-\infty}^{T} f(t,\epsilon)\, dt = \int_{-\infty}^{T}\left(\int_{Ya_t} w_\epsilon \, d\mu_{Y_t}\right) dt. \tag{3.6.5}$$

Integrating the estimate from Eqn. (3.6.4) gives:

$$\begin{aligned} F(T,\epsilon) &= \frac{\operatorname{Vol} Y}{20\cdot \operatorname{Vol} X}\left(\int_X w_\epsilon \, d\mu_X\right) e^{20\cdot T} + O\left(\|w_\epsilon\|_l \, e^{(20-\delta)\cdot T}\right) \\ &= \frac{\operatorname{Vol} Y}{20\cdot \operatorname{Vol} X}\left(\int_K w \, d\mu_K\right) e^{20\cdot T} + O\left(\|w_\epsilon\|_l \, e^{(20-\delta)\cdot T}\right). \end{aligned} \tag{3.6.6}$$

**3.6.7. Counting Function.** We also have the counting function we are interested in:

$$\begin{aligned} C(T) &:= \sum_{[\gamma]\colon t([\gamma])\le e^T} w(C_{[\gamma]}) \\ &= \sum_{[\gamma]\colon t([\gamma])\le e^T} \int_{t([\gamma])-\epsilon}^{t([\gamma])+\epsilon}\left(\int_{Ya_t} w_\epsilon \, d\mu_{Y_t}\right) dt \end{aligned}$$

where the second equality follows from Proposition 3.5.10, specifically the integral comparison in Eqn. (3.5.13).

**3.6.8. Proposition** (Comparison)**.** *The counting functions are related by the inequalities:*

$$C(T-\epsilon) \le F(T,\epsilon) \le C(T+\epsilon).$$

*Proof.* The properties of $w_\epsilon$ from Proposition 3.5.10 as well as Proposition 3.4.7 giving a bijection between intersections of translates of $Y$ and cosets $[\gamma]$. A comparison of Eqn. (3.6.5) and §3.6.7 gives the claim. □

**3.6.9. Conclusion.** Using the comparison from Proposition 3.6.8 and the asymptotic expansion of $F(T,\epsilon)$ from Eqn. (3.6.6) gives

$$C(T) = \frac{\operatorname{Vol} Y}{20\operatorname{Vol} X}\left(\int_K w \, d\mu_K\right) e^{20\cdot(T\pm\epsilon)} + O\left(\|w_\epsilon\|_l \cdot e^{(20-\delta)T}\right)$$

and using the Sobolev norm bound on $w_\epsilon$ from Eqn. (3.5.12):

$$C(T) = \frac{\operatorname{Vol} Y}{20 \operatorname{Vol} X} \left( \int_K w \, d\mu_K \right) e^{20 \cdot (T \pm \epsilon)} + O(\epsilon^{-d_l} \cdot e^{(20-\delta)T}).$$

Set now $\epsilon = e^{-\delta_1 \cdot T}$ and choose $\delta_1$ sufficiently small, e.g. $\delta_1 := \frac{\delta}{2(d_l+1)}$ to obtain the final estimate (using $e^{-A \cdot \epsilon} \approx 1 - A\epsilon$):

$$C(T) = \frac{\operatorname{Vol} Y}{20 \operatorname{Vol} X} \left( \int_K w \, d\mu_K \right) e^{20 \cdot T} + O(e^{(20-\delta_1)T}). \tag{3.6.10}$$

This finishes the proof of Theorem 3.1.6. □

# 4. Equidistribution

This section establishes the quantitative equdistribution of right $Y$-translates by $a_t$. The strategy is to replace $Y$ by a bump function supported near it and use general quantitative mixing results for functions. The wavefront property allows one to compare integrals on translates of $Y$ and integrals against the translated bump function. Because $Y$ is non-compact, to construct the bump function we need to cut off the part of $Y$ that lies sufficiently deep in the cusp.

Throughout, constructions will depend on a parameter $\epsilon > 0$ which will eventually be $e^{-\delta \cdot t}$ for some $\delta > 0$. However, in most arguments we can freely replace $\epsilon$ by a fixed positive power of $\epsilon$. This refers to injectivity radii (if we consider $\epsilon$ or $\epsilon^{1/2}$ is irrelevant) as well as the Sobolev norms of various functions.

## 4.1. Cutoffs, Thickenings, and Wavefronts

In this section, following Benoist–Oh [BO12] we construction a function $\phi_\epsilon$ on $X$ which will serve as a replacement for $Y$. For this, we first need to cut off the part of $Y$ with small injectivity radius (living in the cusp of $X$). Next, there are natural transverse directions to $Y$ and $\phi_\epsilon$ is constructed using bump function in those transverse directions. Finally, we establish the analogue of the wavefront lemma of Eskin–McMullen [EM93] in this setting.

**4.1.2. Injectivity radius.** Let $B_r \subset G$ denote the ball of radius $r$ in $G$, centered at the identity. For any point $x \in X$ define

$$\operatorname{inj}(x) := \sup_{r \geq 0} \{ B_r \to X : g \mapsto x \cdot g \quad \text{is injective} \}$$




FIGURE 4.1.1. The equidistribution of $Y$ established in this section is analogous to the equidistribution of a closed horocycle on the modular surface, under the geodesic flow that expands it.

and set

$$Y_\epsilon := \{y \in Y : \ \mathrm{inj}(y) \geq \epsilon\}.$$

Following Benoist–Oh [BO12], the volume of points in $Y$ with small injectivity radius is bounded by a power of $\epsilon$.

**4.1.3. Proposition.** *There exist constants $C, p > 0$ such that*

$$\mu_Y(Y \setminus Y_\epsilon) \leq C\epsilon^p.$$

*Proof.* This claim is essentially the same as that of [BO12, Lemma 11.2], except that $H_e$, the group locally modeling $Y$, is not affine symmetric but rather a semidirect product of a semisimple group and a unipotent part (see §3.5.3). We only recall the main steps in the proof.

Reduction theory [PR94, Ch. 4] applies in the same way, except that the unipotent part is larger. One has a decomposition $H_e = N_e A_e K_e$ and a Siegel set $\Sigma_{\omega,t_0} = \omega \cdot A_{e,t_0} \cdot K_e$ where $\omega \subset N_e$ is a compact set and $A_{e,t_0}$ is a cone in a Cartan subalgebra of $H_e$. It has the property that it is a coarse fundamental domain for the action of $\Gamma_e$, namely there exist finitely many $h_i \in H$ such that $H_e = \cup_i \Gamma_e \cdot h_i \Sigma_{\omega,t_0}$.

Next, Benoist–Oh choose a cutoff $A_\epsilon \subset A_{e,t_0}$ and show that the injectivity radius on the image of $\Gamma_e \cdot h_i \cdot \omega A_\epsilon K_e$ in $X$ is bounded below by $\epsilon$. Additionally, they check that the volume of the complement is bounded by a positive power of $\epsilon$. This finishes the proof. □

**4.1.4. Weak Stable Direction.** From the decomposition of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ in §3.5.1, define

$$\mathfrak{n}' := \mathfrak{n}^- \oplus \mathfrak{a} \text{ with associated Lie group } N' := \exp(\mathfrak{n}').$$

Note that $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{h}_e \oplus \mathfrak{n}'$ where $\mathfrak{h}_e$ is the Lie algebra of $H_e = \mathrm{Stab}_G e$, and corresponds to the tangent bundle of $Y$. Under the adjoint action of $a_t$, the algebra $\mathfrak{n}'$ is weakly contracted: $\mathfrak{n}$ is strongly contracted, and $\mathfrak{a}$ is fixed.

Throughout, a subscript of $\epsilon$ in a Lie algebra (resp. group) denotes an $\epsilon$-neighborhood of the origin (resp. identity).

**4.1.5. Proposition** (Local Product Structure)**.** *For all sufficiently small $\epsilon$, the map*

$$\begin{aligned} Y_{\epsilon^{1/2}} \times N'_\epsilon &\to X \\ (y, n') &\mapsto y \cdot n' \end{aligned}$$

*is injective.*

*Proof.* Recall that $Y$ is locally modeled on the subgroup $H$. Because of the Lie algebra decomposition of $G$ from §3.5.1, for sufficiently small $\epsilon$ the map $H_\epsilon \times N'_\epsilon \to G$ is injective. Now for any $y \in Y_{\epsilon^{1/2}}$ the map $y \cdot G_\epsilon \to X$ is injective (by the injectivity radius assumption, and since $\epsilon^{1/2} \gg 2\epsilon$). The claim now follows. □

To avoid cluttering notation, from now on we assume that $Y_\epsilon$ denotes the subset of $Y$ with injectivity radius at least $\epsilon^{1/2}$, so that the above proposition applies.

**4.1.6. Proposition** (Thickening $Y$)**.** *For all sufficiently small $\epsilon > 0$ there exist smooth functions $\tau_\epsilon : Y_\epsilon \to \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ and $\rho_\epsilon : N'_\epsilon \to \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ with the following properties:*

(i) *The support of $\tau_\epsilon$ is in $Y_\epsilon$, it satisfies $\tau_\epsilon \leq 1$ everywhere and $\tau_\epsilon|_{Y_{4\epsilon}} \equiv 1$.*

(ii) *The size of $\rho_\epsilon$ is normalized to:*

$$\int_{N'_\epsilon} \rho_\epsilon = 1.$$

(iii) *The function defined (see Proposition 4.1.5) for $x = yn' \in Y_\epsilon \times N'_\epsilon$ as*

$$\phi_\epsilon(yn') := \tau_\epsilon(y) \cdot \rho_\epsilon(n') \tag{4.1.7}$$

*satisfies the following Sobolev norm bound, for every $l \in \mathbb{N}$ and corresponding constant $C_l$:*

$$\|\phi_\epsilon\|_l \lesssim_l \epsilon^{-C_l}. \tag{4.1.8}$$

*In fact, $C_l$ depends linearly on $l$.*

*Proof.* Again, the claim is almost identical to [BO12, Prop. 11.7]. The difference is that their $W_\epsilon$ is our $N'_\epsilon$, and their $H_S$ is our $H_e$, but $H_S$ is affine symmetric whereas $H_e$ is a semidirect product of a semisimple part and a unipotent part. This, however, does not affect the proof. We only recall the main steps.

The first step, [BO12, Lemma 11.8], is to build local bump functions $\beta_\epsilon$ on $H$ which are supported in a neighborhood of the origin $H_\epsilon$ and have the expected Sobolev norm bounds. Additionally, $\beta_\epsilon \geq 1$ on $H_{\epsilon^2}$. This construction is by rescaling a fixed smooth bump function.

Next, one chooses a maximal collection of points $y_i \in Y_\epsilon$ such that the balls $B(y_i, \epsilon^3) \subset Y$ are disjoint. Since the volume of $Y_\epsilon$ is bounded by 1, there are at most $O(\epsilon^{-3\dim Y})$ such points. Next, one places copies of the bump functions $\beta_\epsilon$ centered at the $y_i$, denoted $\beta_{y_i,\epsilon}$ The desired cutoff function is then defined by

$$\tau_\epsilon := \frac{\sum_{y_j \in \mathcal{F}} \beta_{y_j,\epsilon}}{\sum_{y_i \in \mathcal{G}} \beta_{y_i,\epsilon}}$$

where $\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G}$ denote the collection of $y_i$ which are contained in $Y_{4\epsilon}$ and $Y_{2\epsilon}$ respectively.

The function $\rho_\epsilon$ is defined as a rescaling of a fixed bump function on $N'$. The estimates for the Sobolev norms are checked directly. □

A key result introduced in [EM93] is the wavefront lemma. In the adaptation needed for our result, it says that points near $Y$, when translated by $a_t$, stay near the $a_t$-translate of $Y$. We will state it below for comparisons of integrals of translates of functions.

Since $G$ acts on $X$ on the right, define the translation action of $G$ on functions $w : X \to \mathbb{R}$ by

$$(w \cdot g)(x) := w(x \cdot g^{-1}). \tag{4.1.9}$$

**4.1.10. Lemma** (Wavefront Lemma)**.** *Let $w : X \to \mathbb{R}$ be a smooth compactly supported function. Let $\phi_\epsilon$ be the function constructed in Proposition 4.1.6. Then*

$$\int_X w \cdot (\phi_\epsilon \cdot a_t)\, d\mu_X = \int_Y w(ya_t) d\mu_Y(y) + \\ + O(\epsilon \cdot \mathrm{Lip}(w)) + O(\epsilon^{p_1} \cdot \|w\|_{L^\infty})$$

*where $\mathrm{Lip}(w)$ denotes the Lipschitz constant of $w$ and $\|w\|_\infty$ denotes its supremum.*

*Proof.* Recall that by construction, the support of $\phi_\epsilon$ is in $Y_\epsilon \times \mathfrak{n}'_\epsilon$, and therefore the support of $\phi_\epsilon \cdot a_t$ is in the translation on the right by $a_t$ of this set (see Eqn. (4.1.9)).

We have for $x = yna \cdot a_t$ that

$$(\phi_\epsilon \cdot a_t)(x) = \phi_\epsilon(ynaa_t \cdot a_{-t}) = \phi_\epsilon(yna) = \tau_\epsilon(y) \cdot \rho_\epsilon(na)$$

where we have used the definition of $\phi_\epsilon$ from Eqn. (4.1.7). Similarly, for $w(x)$ we have

$$w(x) = w(y \cdot na \cdot a_t) = w(ya_t \cdot a_{-t}na_t \cdot a) = w(ya_t) + O(\epsilon \cdot \mathrm{Lip}(w))$$

where $\mathrm{Lip}(w)$ denotes the Lipschitz norm of $w$, and we used the exponential contraction of the $a_t$-action on $\mathfrak{n}^-$ and that $\|a\| = O(\epsilon)$.

We can now estimate the integral of interest as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}(4.1.11)\quad \int_X w \cdot (\phi_\epsilon \cdot a_t) d\mu_X &= \int\limits_{(Y_\epsilon \times \mathfrak{n}'_\epsilon)\cdot a_t} w(x)(\phi_\epsilon \cdot a_t)(x) d\mu_X(x) \\ &= \int_{Y_\epsilon} \left[ \int_{\mathfrak{n}'_\epsilon} w(ya_t)\tau_\epsilon(y)\rho_\epsilon(na) dn\, da \right] d\mu_Y + O(\epsilon \cdot \mathrm{Lip}(w)) \\ &= \int_Y w(ya_t) d\mu_Y + O(\epsilon \cdot \mathrm{Lip}(w)) + O(\epsilon^{p_1} \cdot \|w\|_{L^\infty})\end{aligned}$$

where for the last line we used the estimate for the volume of $Y \setminus Y_\epsilon$ from Proposition 4.1.3.

For sufficiently large $l$, the Sobolev norm $\|w\|_l$ controls both $\mathrm{Lip}(w)$ and $\|w\|_{L^\infty}$ (since $w$ has compact support). Therefore, the desired estimate follows. □

## 4.2. Spectral theory

This section recalls the definition of Sobolev spaces for non-compact quotients of semisimple Lie groups. It also contains the necessary quantitative mixing result from the spectral theory of semisimple Lie groups.

**4.2.1. Setup.** Let $G$ be a semisimple real Lie group with a lattice $\Gamma \subseteq G$. Denote by $\mathrm{Lie}\, G$ the Lie algebra of $G$, canonically identified with the left-invariant vector fields on $G$. Then the universal enveloping algebra $\mathcal{U}(\mathrm{Lie}\, G)$ can be identified with the left-invariant differential operators on $G$.

Consider the quotient $X := \Gamma\backslash G$ equipped with the Haar measure $\mu_X$. Because $\mathcal{U}(\mathrm{Lie}\, G)$ denotes left-invariant operators on $G$, it descends to an algebra of differential operators on $X$, denoted $\mathcal{U}$.

**4.2.2. Sobolev spaces.** Fix a basis $D_i$ of $\operatorname{Lie} G$ and using the Poincaré–Birkhoff–Witt theorem, a basis $D_{i_1,\dots,i_k}$ of the universal enveloping algebra $\mathcal{U}(\operatorname{Lie} G)$. For a smooth function $\alpha \in C^\infty(X)$ define the $l$-th order $L^2$ Sobolev norm by

$$\|\alpha\|_l^2 := \sum_{0\le k\le l} \|D_{i_1\dots i_k}\alpha\|_{L^2}^2 . \tag{4.2.3}$$

Here $\|-\|_{L^2}$ denotes the $L^2$ norm on the space $L^2(X,\mu_X)$, and the summation is over a basis of operators of order $\le l$.

**4.2.4. Remark.** There is an alternative way to define a Sobolev norm, which is comparable to the one in Eqn. (4.2.3) up to constants which only depend on the Lie group. For this, fix a maximal compact $K \subset G$ and an associated Casimir operator $\Omega \in \mathcal{U}(\operatorname{Lie} G)$ which can be viewed as a Laplacian. Then up to multiplicative constants we have

$$\|\alpha\|_{2l}^2 \approx \|\alpha\|_{L^2}^2 + \left\|\Omega^l\alpha\right\|_{L^2}^2 . \tag{4.2.5}$$

**4.2.6. Quantitative mixing.** The right action of $G$ on functions is defined, for $g \in G$ and $\alpha$ a function on $X$, using right push-forward. The formula becomes $(\alpha\cdot g)(x) := \alpha(x\cdot g^{-1})$.

The next result is established, for instance, in [KM99, Cor. 3.5]. It applies in our context with $G$ and $\Gamma$ as above (note that the center of $G$ is finite and contained in $\Gamma$, so we can just quotient by the center from the start).

**4.2.7. Theorem.** *Let $G$ be a connected semisimple center-free Lie group without compact factors, and $\Gamma \subset G$ an irreducible non-uniform lattice. Set $X := \Gamma\backslash G$ with normalized Haar probability measure $\mu$. There exist constants $A, l, \delta > 0$ depending only on $G$ and $\Gamma$ such that for all $\alpha,\beta \in C^2_\infty(X)$ and $g \in G$ we have*

$$\left\| \left( \int_X \alpha\cdot(\beta\cdot g)\, d\mu \right) - \int_X \alpha\cdot\beta\, d\mu \right\| \le A\cdot\|\alpha\|_l\cdot\|\beta\|_l\cdot e^{-\delta\cdot\|g\|} \tag{4.2.8}$$

*Here $C^2_\infty(X)$ denotes the space of smooth functions $\alpha$ on $X$ with $D\alpha \in L^2(X,\mu)$, for $D$ any differential operator in the universal enveloping algebra of $G$. Therefore a function $\alpha \in C^2_\infty(X)$ is in the intersection of all Sobolev spaces on $X$. The Sobolev norms are denoted $\|-\|_l$.*

The key point of the above theorem is that the constants $A, l$ as well as the spectral gap $\delta$ do not depend on the functions $\alpha,\beta$.

## 4.3. Quantitative Equidistribution

We keep the notation from the previous two sections. The next result establishes in a quantitative form the equidistribution of $Y$-translates under the right action of $a_t$.

**4.3.1. Theorem.** *There exist constants $l, \delta > 0$ such that for all $w : X \to \mathbb{R}$ be smooth, compactly supported, we have as $t \to \infty$:*

$$\int\limits_{Y \cdot a_t} w \, d\mu_{Ya_t} = \frac{\operatorname{Vol} Y}{\operatorname{Vol} X} \cdot \left( \int\limits_X w \, d\mu_X \right) + O\left( \|w\|_l \cdot e^{-t \cdot \delta} \right).$$

*Here $\|w\|_l$ denotes the $l$-th order Sobolev norm, and the implied constants in $O(-)$ are absolute. The measure $d\mu_{Ya_t}$ has volume independent of $t$ and is defined in §3.4.1.*

*Proof.* Consider the function $\phi_\epsilon$ constructed in Proposition 4.1.6, which serves as a thickening of $Y$. Theorem 4.2.7 gives $\delta' > 0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} (4.3.2) \quad & \int_X w \cdot (\phi_\epsilon \cdot a_t) \, d\mu_X = \\ & = \frac{1}{\operatorname{Vol} X} \left( \int_X w \, d\mu_X \right) \left( \int_X \phi_\epsilon \, d\mu_X \right) + O\left( \|w\|_l \cdot \|\phi_\epsilon\|_l \cdot e^{-t \cdot \delta'} \right) \\ & = \frac{\operatorname{Vol} Y}{\operatorname{Vol} X} \left( \int_X w \, d\mu_X \right) + O\left( \|w\|_l \cdot \|\phi_\epsilon\|_l \cdot e^{-t \cdot \delta'} \right) \end{aligned}$$

where we used that

$$\int_X \phi_\epsilon \, d\mu_X = \operatorname{Vol} Y + O(\operatorname{Vol}(Y \setminus Y_\epsilon)) = \operatorname{Vol} Y + O(\epsilon^{p_1})$$

which follows from Proposition 4.1.3. Now Eqn. (4.1.8) gives $\|\phi_\epsilon\|_l = \epsilon^{-c_l}$. Set $\epsilon = e^{-t \cdot \delta''}$ for a sufficiently small $\delta'' > 0$. The claim will follow after we show that

$$\int_X w \cdot (\phi_\epsilon \cdot a_t) \, d\mu_X = \int_{Ya_t} w \, d\mu_{Ya_t} + O\left( \|w\|_l \cdot \epsilon^{c_1} \right)$$

for some exponent $c_1 > 0$.

But this last comparison follows from the Wavefront Lemma 4.1.10. Indeed, both the Lipschitz norm $\operatorname{Lip}(w)$ and the supremum $\|w\|_{L^\infty}$ are controlled by a sufficiently high Sobolev norm, since $w$ is compactly supported. □

# Appendix A. The explicit form of the Lie algebra decompositions

The goal of this section is to make explicit the Lie algebra decompositions occuring in §3.5. We describe the corresponding objects in terms of matrices.

We shall be concerned with the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{so}_{3,18}(\mathbb{R})$, preserving the quadratic form which in the appropriate basis looks like:

(A.1.3)
$$q = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & & \\ 1 & 0 & & \\ & & \mathbb{1}_2 & \\ & & & -\mathbb{1}_{18} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} U & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \mathbb{1}_2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -\mathbb{1}_{18} \end{bmatrix} \text{ where } U = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

To describe a matrix $M \in \mathfrak{so}_{3,18}$, write it out explicitly as

$$M = \begin{bmatrix} A & B & C \\ D & E & F \\ G & H & I \end{bmatrix} \text{ satisfying } M^t q + qM = 0. \tag{A.1.4}$$

These equations work out to

$$\begin{aligned} A^tU + UA = 0 \qquad & \qquad UB + D^t = 0 \\ E + E^t = 0 \qquad & \qquad UC - G^t = 0 \\ I + I^t = 0 \qquad & \qquad F - H^t = 0 \end{aligned} \tag{A.1.5}$$

The matrices thus have to be of the form

$$\begin{aligned} A &= \begin{bmatrix} x & 0 \\ 0 & -x \end{bmatrix} & B &= \begin{bmatrix} b_1 \\ b_2 \end{bmatrix} & C &= \begin{bmatrix} c_1 \\ c_2 \end{bmatrix} \\ E &\in \mathfrak{so}_2(\mathbb{R}) & D &= -\begin{bmatrix} b_2^t & b_1^t \end{bmatrix} & G &= \begin{bmatrix} c_2^t & c_1^t \end{bmatrix}. \\ I &\in \mathfrak{so}_{18}(\mathbb{R}) \end{aligned} \tag{A.1.6}$$

Above, the Lie algebras $\mathfrak{so}_2$ and $\mathfrak{so}_{18}$ preserve the standard quadratic forms, and $b_i, c_i$ denote row vectors. We can therefore write a matrix $M \in \mathfrak{so}_{3,19}(\mathbb{R})$ as

$$M = \begin{bmatrix} x & 0 & b_1 & c_1 \\ 0 & -x & b_2 & c_2 \\ -b_2^t & -b_1^t & \mathfrak{so}_2 & S \\ c_2^t & c_1^t & S^t & \mathfrak{so}_{18} \end{bmatrix}. \tag{A.1.7}$$

Above, $S$ can be any $2 \times 18$ matrix.

**A.1.8. The horospherical group.** Fix a column null vector $e \in \mathbb{R}^{3,19}$ which in the same basis as above, has entries $e^t = [1, 0, \dots, 0]$. The Lie algebra of the stabilizer of $e$, called a horospherical subalgebra, is

$$\mathfrak{h}_e = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & b_1 & c_1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -b_1^t & \mathfrak{so}_2 & S \\ 0 & c_1^t & S^t & \mathfrak{so}_{18} \end{bmatrix} \in \mathfrak{so}_{3,18} \right\}. \tag{A.1.9}$$

**A.1.10. The adjoint action.** Next, consider the element $a \in \mathfrak{so}_{3,19}(\mathbb{R})$ defined by the matrix

$$a = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & & \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}. \tag{A.1.11}$$

For the adjoint action of this element the Lie algebra splits according to the eigenvalues $+1, 0$, and $-1$:

$$\mathfrak{so}_{3,19} = \mathfrak{n}^+ \oplus \mathfrak{so}^0_{3,19} \oplus \mathfrak{n}^- \tag{A.1.12}$$

Note that $\mathfrak{so}^0_{3,19} = \langle a \rangle \oplus \mathfrak{so}_{2,18}$. The eigenspace $\mathfrak{n}^+$ has non-zero entries only in the $b_1, c_1$ coefficients and $\mathfrak{n}^-$ only in the $b_2, c_2$ coefficients (as presented in Eqn. (A.1.7)).

The horospherical subalgebra has the decomposition

$$\mathfrak{h}_e = \mathfrak{so}_{2,18} \oplus \mathfrak{n}^+ \tag{A.1.13}$$

Therefore the adjoint action of the element $a$ weakly expands $\mathfrak{h}_e$, i.e. has only zero or positive eigenvalues.

The algebra $\mathfrak{m}$ in §3.5 corresponds to $\mathfrak{so}_{2,18}$ in the current discussion.

**A.1.14. The compact Lie algebras.** Continuing the comparison to §3.5, the compact Lie algebra $\mathfrak{k}_e$ corresponds to $\mathfrak{so}_2 \oplus \mathfrak{so}_{18} \subset \mathfrak{so}_{2,18}$. The complement $\mathfrak{k}^c$ corresponds to elements given by Eqn. (A.1.7) with $b_1 = -b_2, c_1 = -c_2$ and the rest of the entries 0.

# References

[Aud03] Audin, M. – "Lagrangian submanifolds". in *Symplectic geometry of integrable Hamiltonian systems (Barcelona, 2001)* – Adv. Courses Math. CRM Barcelona, pp. 1–83. Birkhäuser, Basel – 2003.

[BO12] Benoist, Y. & Oh, H. – "Effective equidistribution of $S$-integral points on symmetric varieties". *Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble)* **62** no. 5, (2012) 1889–1942. http://dx.doi.org/10.5802/aif.2738.

[Can01] Cantat, S. – "Dynamique des automorphismes des surfaces $K3$". *Acta Math.* **187** no. 1, (2001) 1–57. http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF02392831.

[DRS93] Duke, W., Rudnick, Z., & Sarnak, P. – "Density of integer points on affine homogeneous varieties". *Duke Math. J.* **71** no. 1, (1993) 143–179. http://dx.doi.org/10.1215/S0012-7094-93-07107-4.

[Eic52] Eichler, M. – *Quadratische Formen und orthogonale Gruppen*. Die Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften in Einzeldarstellungen mit besonderer Berücksichtigung der Anwendungsgebiete. Band LXIII. Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Göttingen-Heidelberg – 1952.

[EKZ14] Eskin, A., Kontsevich, M., & Zorich, A. – "Sum of Lyapunov exponents of the Hodge bundle with respect to the Teichmüller geodesic flow". *Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Études Sci.* **120** (2014) 207–333. http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10240-013-0060-3.

[EM93] Eskin, A. & McMullen, C. – "Mixing, counting, and equidistribution in Lie groups". *Duke Math. J.* **71** no. 1, (1993) 181–209. http://dx.doi.org/10.1215/S0012-7094-93-07108-6.

[EMM15] Eskin, A., Mirzakhani, M., & Mohammadi, A. – "Isolation, equidistribution, and orbit closures for the $\mathrm{SL}(2,\mathbb{R})$ action on moduli space". *Ann. of Math. (2)* **182** no. 2, (2015) 673–721. http://dx.doi.org/10.4007/annals.2015.182.2.7.

[Fil14] Filip, S. – "Families of K3 surfaces and Lyapunov exponents". *ArXiv e-prints* (Dec., 2014) – arXiv:1412.1779 [math.DS].

[Fun13] Funk, P. – "Über Flächen mit lauter geschlossenen geodätischen Linien". *Math. Ann.* **74** no. 2, (1913) 278–300. http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF01456044.

[GHJ03] Gross, M., Huybrechts, D., & Joyce, D. – *Calabi-Yau manifolds and related geometries*. Universitext. Springer-Verlag, Berlin – 2003. http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-19004-9. Lectures from the Summer School held in Nordfjordeid, June 2001.

[Gro03] Gromov, M. – "On the entropy of holomorphic maps". *Enseign. Math. (2)* **49** no. 3-4, (2003) 217–235.

[Gro11] Gross, M. – *Tropical geometry and mirror symmetry*, vol. 114 of *CBMS Regional Conference Series in Mathematics*. Published for the Conference Board of the Mathematical Sciences, Washington, DC; by the American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI – 2011. http://dx.doi.org/10.1090/cbms/114.

[Huy15] Huybrechts, D. – *Lectures on K3 surfaces*. 2015. available on the author's homepage.

[K3-85] *Géométrie des surfaces K3: modules et périodes*. Société Mathématique de France, Paris – 1985. Papers from the seminar held in Palaiseau, October 1981–January 1982, Astérisque No. 126 (1985).

[KM99] Kleinbock, D. Y. & Margulis, G. A. – "Logarithm laws for flows on homogeneous spaces". *Invent. Math.* **138** no. 3, (1999) 451–494. http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s002220050350.

[KS06] Kontsevich, M. & Soibelman, Y. – "Affine structures and non-Archimedean analytic spaces". in *The unity of mathematics* – vol. 244 of *Progr. Math.*, pp. 321–385. Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA – 2006. http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/0-8176-4467-9_9.

[Mas88] Masur, H. – "Lower bounds for the number of saddle connections and closed trajectories of a quadratic differential". in *Holomorphic functions and moduli, Vol. I (Berkeley, CA, 1986)* – vol. 10 of *Math. Sci. Res. Inst. Publ.*, pp. 215–228. Springer, New York – 1988. http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4613-9602-4_20.

[McM02] McMullen, C. T. – "Dynamics on $K3$ surfaces: Salem numbers and Siegel disks". *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **545** (2002) 201–233. http://dx.doi.org/10.1515/crll.2002.036.

[PR94] Platonov, V. & Rapinchuk, A. – *Algebraic groups and number theory*, vol. 139 of *Pure and Applied Mathematics*. Academic Press, Inc., Boston, MA – 1994. Translated from the 1991 Russian original by Rachel Rowen.

[Siu83] Siu, Y. T. – "Every $K3$ surface is Kähler". *Invent. Math.* **73** no. 1, (1983) 139–150. http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF01393829.

[SYZ96] Strominger, A., Yau, S.-T., & Zaslow, E. – "Mirror symmetry is $T$-duality". *Nuclear Phys. B* **479** no. 1-2, (1996) 243–259. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0550-3213(96)00434-8.

[Vee89] Veech, W. A. – "Teichmüller curves in moduli space, Eisenstein series and an application to triangular billiards". *Invent. Math.* **97** no. 3, (1989) 553–583. http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF01388890.

[Yau78] Yau, S. T. – "On the Ricci curvature of a compact Kähler manifold and the complex Monge-Ampère equation. I". *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* **31** no. 3, (1978) 339–411. http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/cpa.3160310304.

Department of Mathematics
Harvard University
1 Oxford Street
Cambridge, MA 02139
*E-mail address*: sfilip@math.harvard.edu